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## Abstract

Lower limb injuries that impact a patient's ability to walk can also lead to pain in the knees, back, and hips. This project investigated the functionality of an ankle-foot orthosis known as the Intrepid Dynamic Exoskeletal Orthosis (IDEO) that attempts to salvage injured limbs using basic principles of biomechanics. With help from the designer of the device, a patient that uses the device, and many others, the team studied the function of the IDEO in order to model its ability to transfer energy to the affected limb and restore normal gait function to the patient. We found that the IDEO stores energy while the patient walks using favorable material properties to replace the function of injured parts of the body. In addition, the device provides substantial support at the knee during the stance phase of the gait cycle. Further development in the modelling of joint reactions should be explored to assist
in the evolution of similar medical devices.
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## Motivation

## Limb Salvage

## Limb Salvage

Today, some patients and veterans with injuries and diseases that affect their lower body and impede their normal walking gait would rather amputate their injured limbs than try to rehabilitate them (Van Cott, 2018). The injuries that affect lower limb function and the ability to walk include ankle fusions, partial-foot amputations, fractures, tarsal coalitions and other lower extremity dysfunctions (Hanger Clinic, 2018). Patients with these types of injuries are typically incapable of walking normally, and therefore experience pain in other parts of the body, such as the hips, knees, and back (Intermountain Healthcare, 2018). In addition, patients with lower leg trauma experience atrophy in other muscles critical for healthy gait, and consequently require physical therapy to regain strength in these muscles (Stride Strong, 2019).

Specifically, a common lower limb injury known as drop foot affects a patient's ability to walk. This disability results in an inability to lift the foot off of the ground. A patient who exhibits drop foot will drag their toes on the ground when attempting to walk. The mus-
cles of the foot that would lift the foot are deficient due to either nerve damage, spinal cord injury, or direct trauma to the associated muscles, tendons or ligaments. These factors associated with drop foot inhibit the motion required for healthy gait (WebMD, 2019). This means that when walking, a patient with drop foot will experience more pain in adjacent parts of the body as described above.

Patients with injuries such as drop foot have few good options to treat lower limb injuries. Most people cannot afford to or would prefer not to be confined to a wheelchair. Use of prosthetic limbs may require invasive surgeries and can be expensive. The use of orthoses in limb salvage allows the user to retain their lower limbs and correct any abnormalities in their movements over time. For permanently damaged patients, typical ankle/foot orthoses allow for more normal movements (Hanger Clinic, 2019).

## Anatomy \& Physiology of Targeted Areas

The IDEO replaces the function of injured lower body parts. To understand the functions that it replaces, we must first understand the normal function of
these body segments The full anatomy targeted by the IDEO is complex, but the basic functions of the segments within the ankle, foot, and knee are fundamentally easy to understand.

The following graphic highlights the axes associated with the ankle and the different directions it can move. When the angle of the joint between the tibia and foot increases, the joint is in dorsiflexion. The opposite of this motion is known as plantar flexion, during which the angle between segments increases (Samuel, 2019). These types of motion, as well as a visual representation of proximal and distal motion are shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1: Planes of motion relative to the foot. (Samuel, 2019)

There are 26 bones in the human foot; nineteen of those are related to the middle foot and toes. The remaining seven bones comprise the ankle and hind foot and are held together by a variety of ligaments.

The major bones in the foot are the talus (2) and calcaneus (1) bones, which connect the bones of the leg to the heel. These are known as the tarsal bones. The metatarsal bones (3-7) support and comprise the arch of the foot, while the phalanges (13-26) extend to the toes (Sports Podiatry Resource Inc., 2019). This layout is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Bones of the human foot (numbered).
(Sports Podiatry Resource Inc., 2019)

Two basic concepts in ankle and foot motion are pronation and supination. These are both induced by changes in weight distribution during gait. Different rotations about multiple joints in the ankle and foot occur during these changes in distribution. The tibia rotates internally or externally, while the talus and calcaneus move opposite to each other during either pronation or supination.

Pronation is characterized by plantar flexion at the talus bone, internal rotation of the tibia, and eversion of the calcaneus bone. This occurs as forces on the foot become displaced over its length, causing slight elongation and flattening of the segment. Conversely, supination involves dorsiflexion at the talus bone, external rotation of the tibia, and inversion of the
 calcaneus bone. When in supination, weight on the foot traction of the rectus femoris, while extension is due to is distributed laterally to the outside portion of the foot contractions of the adductor and gluteus muscles. A (Souza et. al., 2010). These inverse mechanical operations are diagrammed in Figure 3.

Movements of bones are caused by generation of ergy is transferred between segments (Loudon et al., forces and moments by muscles. Extension of the knee 2008).
is caused by a contraction of the quadriceps muscles,


## Aspects of Gait

## The Gait Cycle

Since the gait of an individual is entirely specific to that person, it is important to realize that normal gait is relative, but we can identify the difference between healthy and unhealthy gait. The normal walking gait of a human includes two distinct phases of leg movement: the stance phase and the swing phase.

One full gait cycle begins and ends with contact of one heel to the ground, as shown in Figure 4. After heel contact, weight is focused on the engaged leg as the foot begins to flatten during pronation. At this point, the entire weight of the body is supported by the muscles, joints, and ligaments in the working leg.

Heel strike is the initial stage of the stance phase. This is a short period of the gait cycle which begins the moment the heel touches the ground. The upper leg is flexed at around $30^{\circ}$ from vertical in the hip as the knee is fully extended and in line with the upper leg.


Figure 4: Phases of the gait cycle. (Physiopedia, 2019)

The ankle moves from a neutral - normally supinated $5^{\circ}$ position into plantar flexion. After this, knee flexion of $5^{\circ}$ begins and increases, acting as a shock absorber.

In foot flat, the body absorbs impact in the foot by rolling into pronation. The hip moves slowly into extension, as the femur crosses past the frontal plane. The knee flexes to $15^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$. Ankle plantar flexion increases to $10-15^{\circ}$ as the foot rolls further into midstance.

In midstance the hip moves from $10^{\circ}$ of flexion to extension. The knee fully flexes and then begins to extend. While the weight is distributed away from the body, the ankle becomes supinated and dorsiflexed to $5^{\circ}$. During this phase, the body is sup-
ported by the single leg in contact with the ground, since the other foot and leg is entering the swing phase. After this period of force absorption, the body begins to propel itself forward.

The heel off phase begins once the heel leaves the floor. In this phase, the body weight is divided over the heads of the metatarsal bones. Here can we see $10-15^{\circ}$ of extension in the hip joint, which then goes into flexion. The knee becomes flexed $0-5^{\circ}$ and the ankle undergoes supination and plantar flexes.

During the toe-off phase, the hip becomes less extended and the toes leave the ground. The knee becomes flexed $35-40^{\circ}$ and plantar flexion of the ankle increases to $20^{\circ}$.

In the early swing phase the hip extends to $10^{\circ}$ and then flexes due to $20^{\circ}$ with lateral rotation. The knee flexes to $40-60^{\circ}$, and the ankle goes from $20^{\circ}$ of plantar flexion to dorsiflexion, to end in a neutral position

In the mid swing phase the hip flexes to $30^{\circ}$ and the ankle becomes dorsiflexed. The knee flexes $60^{\circ}$
but then extends approximately $30^{\circ}$.
The late swing phase begins with hip flexion of $25-30^{\circ}$, a locked extension of the knee and a neutral position of the ankle (Loudon et al., 2008) (Shultz et al., 2005).

## Typical Forces Experienced During Gait

The following graphs, Figures 5-7, show typical values for ground reaction forces in three dimensions (Vaughan et al., 1999). Note that the typical stance phase consumes approximately $60 \%$ of the gait cycle, then the subject's gait enters the swing phase. For the sake of this paper, the $X$ direction will denote the ante-rior-posterior (AP) direction. The $Y$ direction will correspond to the medial-lateral (ML) direction, and the $Z$ direction will be in the vertical (V) direction.


Figure 5: Typical Ground Reaction Force in $X$ direction for both feet. (Vaughn et al., 1999)


Figure 6: Typical Ground Reaction Force in Y direction for both feet. (Vaughn et al., 1999)


Figure 7: Typical Ground Reaction Force in $Z$ direction for both feet. (Vaughn et al., 1999)

During one full gait cycle, the vertical ground reaction force has two distinct peaks. These points take place when: 1) the leg opposite to the plant leg begins to touch the ground again after swinging and 2) directly after the opposite toe leaves the ground and enters the swing phase. Typically, the peaks in this force will be greater than the body weight of the person, as muscle force from the legs and trunk will also act on the ground in addition to weight of the entire body. The AP ground reaction force will typically increase as the subject's foot rolls through stance phase, as the applied force shifts from front to back. The ML ground reaction force is the smallest in magnitude, but follows an expected pattern as the foot and ankle roll from supination to pronation. The two troughs visible in this medial-lateral plot correspond to the same peaks seen in the vertical ground reaction force plots (Winter, 2009).

## Aspects of Gait

## Energy Transfer \& Power Generation

## Energy Transfer \& Power Generation

The healthy human body always moves together. the segments.
Muscles are the only element of the body within individual body segments that can produce work through contractions, as adjacent body segments absorb the resulting energy to complete movements and transfer energy as well.

Loads absorbed by the body create moments on other body segments, and therefore require the use of muscles to distribute them. At different points in the body, adjacent segments often produce opposite work. The total energy and the exchange of energy within segments is the sum of the potential, kinetic, and rotational energy, shown in the equation below.

$$
E_{\text {tot, seg }}=m g h+m v^{2} \cdot / 2+\mathrm{lw}^{2} / 2
$$

As the body replenishes its cells with oxygen, it allows the body to perform work and expend this energy in its segments. This overall model of energy flow is important because it takes into account metabolic energy, which is important in determining efficiency in
movements. For our study, we care more about the individual movement of

The link segment model is one way to look at the different ways the body segments move. Each body segment has the capability to move adjacent ones depending on specific conditions. When analyzing the motion of the leg, it is much easier to use the link segment model since it clearly outlines the length of segments and points of connection. A comparison of the link segment model to the normal anatomical model is shown in Figure 8.


Anatomical Model
Link Segment Model
Figure 8: Body part descriptions in the Anatomical Model versus the Link Segment Model (Nisan Amirudin et al., 2014)

## Aspects of Gait

## Energy Transfer \& Power Generation

The only source within the human body capable of mechanical energy generation is the muscles. This mechanical energy turns into mechanical power via contractions once time elapses. At joints between body segments, mechanical power is defined by the product of net moment of a body segment generated by a muscle and the angular velocity of the same body segment, shown below. This equation allows us to quantify the power at specific joints over time.

$$
P_{m}=M_{j}{ }^{*} w_{j}
$$

Another equation will allow us to calculate instantaneous power at these joints. This equation is the dot product of force acting on the joint as a part of a segment and velocity of the joint. This means that when a reaction force, $F_{j}$ acts on the end of a segment, the point directly at the joint will be moving in one direction with a specified velocity, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$. The angle between the resultant force on a segment and corresponding velocity is defined as $\Theta_{1}$. This equation is shown below.

$$
P=F V \cos \theta=F x V x+F y V y
$$

Mechanical energy and power generation are caused by concentric contractions of muscles in body segments, and absorption is caused by eccentric contraction of muscles. The specific amount, type, and direction of power generated or absorbed at each segment can be calculated according to these variables (Winter, 2009). A full description of power transfers is shown in Table 1.


## Anthropometric Data

Since it would be extremely difficult to physically weigh individual body segments, biomechanics uses anthropometry to define standardized proportions of segments. Many different lengths and masses can be obtained using these tables. Masses of all body segments can be obtained by only knowing the total body mass of the individual. Similarly, lengths of proximal and distal ends of segments can be calculated once we know the length of the segment as a whole. The following Anthropometric table in Table 2 provides guidelines for the anatomical length, segment weight, segment center of mass locations, and segment center of gyration locations for all body segments.

Table 2: Anthropometric Data. (Winter, 2009)

| Segment | Definition | Segment Weight/ Total Body Weight | Center of Mass/ Segment Length |  | Radius of Gyration/ Segment Length |  |  | Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Proximal | Distal | C of G | Proximal | Distal |  |
| Hand | Wrist axis/knuckle II middle finger | 0.006 M | 0.506 | 0.494 P | 0.297 | 0.587 | 0.577 M | 1.16 |
| Forearm | Elbow axis/ulnar styloid | 0.016 M | 0.430 | 0.570 P | 0.303 | 0.526 | 0.647 M | 1.13 |
| Upper arm | Glenohumeral axis/elbow axis | 0.028 M | 0.436 | 0.564 P | 0.322 | 0.542 | 0.645 M | 1.07 |
| Forearm and hand | Elbow axis/ulnar styloid | 0.022 M | 0.682 | 0.318 P | 0.468 | 0.827 | 0.565 P | 1.14 |
| Total arm | Glenohumeral joint/ulnar styloid | 0.050 M | 0.530 | 0.470 P | 0.368 | 0.645 | 0.596 | 1.11 |
| Foot | Lateral malleolus/head metatarsal II | 0.0145 M | 0.50 | 0.50 P | 0.475 | 0.690 | 0.690 P | 1.10 |
| Leg | Femoral condyles/medial malleolus | 0.0465 M | 0.433 | 0.567 P | 0.302 | 0.528 | 0.643 M | 1.09 |
| Thigh | Greater trochanter/femoral condyles | 0.100 M | 0.433 | 0.567 P | 0.323 | 0.540 | 0.653 M | 1.05 |
| Foot and leg | Femoral condyles/medial maneolus | 0.061 M | 0.606 | 0.394 P | 0.416 | 0.735 | 0.572 P | 1.09 |
| Total leg | Greater trochanter/medial malleotus | 0.161 M | 0.447 | 0.553 P | 0.326 | 0.560 | 0.650 P | 1.06 |
| Head and neck | C7.T1 and in riblear canal | 0.081 M | 1.000 | $\bigcirc \mathrm{PC}$ | 0.493 | 1.116 | - mc | 1.11 |
| Shoulder mass | Sternoclavicular joint/ glenohumeral axis |  | 0.712 | 0.288 | - | - | - | 1.04 |
| Thorax | C7-T1/T12-L1 and diaphragm* | 0.216 PC | 0.82 | 0.18 | - | - | - | 0.92 |
| Abdomen | T12-L1/L4-L5* | 0.139 LC | 0.44 | 0.56 | - | - | - | - |
| Pelvis | L4-L.5/greater trochanter* | 0.142 LC | 0.105 | 0.895 | - | - | - | - |
| Thorax and abdomen | C7-T1/L4-L5* | 0.355 LC | 0.63 | 0.37 | - | - | - | - |
| Abdomen and pelvis | T12-L1/greater trochanter* | 0.281 PC | 0.27 | 0.73 | - | - | - | 1.01 |
| Trunk | Greater trochanter/ glenohumeral joint* | 0.497 M | 0.50 | 0.50 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 1.03 |
| Trunk head neck | Greater trochanter/ glenohumeral joint* | 0.578 MC | 0.66 | 0.34 P | 0.503 | 0.830 | 0.607 M | - |
| HAT | Greater trochanter/ glenohumeral joint* | 0.678 MC | 0.626 | 0.374 PC | 0.496 | 0.798 | 0.621 PC | - |
| HAT | Greater trochanter/mid rib | 0.678 | 1.142 | - | 0.903 | 1.456 | - | - |

## The IDEO



## Orthosis Basic Function

## Orthosis Basic Function

An orthosis is a device attached or applied to the external surface of the body to improve function, restrict or enforce motion, or support a body segment (Chung, 2008). The Intrepid Dynamic Exoskeletal Orthosis (IDEO) is a biomedical device designed to aid patients that have sustained trauma or injury that impedes their normal walking gait. The IDEO utilizes carbon fiber to conform to the shape of the patient's injured leg and disperse stored energy in the materials to allow the user to walk. The main function of the device involves absorbing normal body forces at the knee cuff and using the subsequent flexion and extension in the struts to support the foot and ankle as the orthosis carries these body segments. This process is outlined in Figure 9.
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}\text { 1 CONTACT POINT } & \text { 2 CONTACT POINTS } & \text { 2 CONTACT POINTS } \\ \text { INDUCED FLEXION }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { 1 CONTACT POINT } \\ \text { INDUCED EXTENSION }\end{array}\right]$

Figure 9: Flexion and extension of IDEO struts, simplified

## IDEO Components

The IDEO is a cutting-edge device that combines our understanding of the human body with techniques that utilize the properties of materials. But the device itself is not autonomous, as the user drives the capabilities of the device. Patients that rehab their core glutes and hamstrings will be able to recover and return to normal movement faster (Blanck, 2018).

The IDEO is comprised of three major components as shown in Figure 10. Component 1 is the knee cuff, which can be tightened with velcro straps so that the user can apply force just below the knee. Component 2 consists of the two long struts along the posterior of the device. These struts are made of unidirectional carbon fiber that comprise the only active portion of the device. Component 3 is the foot bed, which cradles the foot and allows for contact at the ground without direct force applied to the foot.


Figure 10: The IDEO and its components, numbered. (Hanger Clinic, 2018)

## IDEO Design Goals

For the user, the IDEO can salvage a completely flaccid foot and redistribute the weight of the body elsewhere within the orthosis. For patients with drop foot, this device can be extremely effective to relieve pain associated with walking. The same principle applies to the calf and lower leg and foot muscles, as the device is capable of replacing their function. Experienced and rehabilitated users of the IDEO are able to walk, run, jump, and move laterally with good control.

The dynamic struts implemented in the design of the IDEO are strong enough to sustain the weight of the body as well as forces caused by muscles, all while sustaining normal gait. A bending moment is created about the heel once it makes contact with the ground, resulting in a flexure of the material as the foot and leg roll into midstance. This flexion is directly translated to the energy that an injured leg is not able to provide.

All of the active portions of the device are made of carbon fiber. Two dynamic carbon fiber struts along the rear of the orthosis constitute the main segment of
the device. Two opposing forces, at the knee cuff and foot, cause deflection within the orthosis. The struts are loaded during the heel strike to toe off phases of the gait cycle. As the toe off phase ends and the swing phase begins, the material is returning to its original shape (Blanck, 2018).

Ryan Blanck is the head prosthetist responsible for the design of the IDEO. He is the clinic manager at the Hanger Clinic in Gig Harbor, Washington, where he is currently leading the ExoSym Program. He evaluates patients based on their individual injury and determines how the IDEO/ExoSym Program could benefit them. Each patient that qualifies for the program undergoes a body optimization program that involves extensive therapy and device fitting procedures. One example of advice given to an IDEO candidate is to emphasize the roll from the Heel Strike phase of the gait cycle to the Toe Off phase. This will allow for a more even distribution of impact force along the entire foot as the user focuses on good running and walking form.

The ExoSym is a device that uses the same biomechanical principles as the IDEO, but with an improved design based around the natural symmetry of

## The IDEO

## IDEO Design Goals

the body. Some IDEO users did not achieve full bodily symmetry after extensive use and optimization the device. A new version of the device has implemented a strap at the high ankle, as running and movement causes the foot to come out of the custom molded carbon fiber exoskeleton. This strap holds the shin in place while the rest of the leg moves normally with the IDEO, as seen in Figure 11. Since the IDEO is the basis for the ExoSym, we will study the motion and function of the IDEO to determine the most relevant aspects of the design.


Figure 11: An ExoSym user running with a shin strap. (Hanger Clinic, 2018)

## The IDEO

## Properties of Materials

## Properties of Materials

The chemical composition and structure of each material determine its mechanical properties, including strength, modulus, ductility, and compliance. These properties outline the mechanical behavior of each material when loaded under different stresses and strains.

Elastic modulus of a material is defined by the ratio of the force exerted upon a substance or body to the resultant deformation. Mathematically, this is represented by applied force in Newtons divided by the resultant strain in elongation percentage, during the elastic portion of loading.

Materials that exhibit strong mechanical properties are capable of sustaining heavy loads without permanently deforming (Maggs, 2012). The behavior of the material depends on the yield point, geometry, and external loading pattern.

The toughness of a material is defined by the amount of energy that can be absorbed by a material before it experiences permanent deformation. This can be quantified as the area under the stress-strain curve
at the yield point. Similarly, a material will store energy equal to the area under the curve at a specified stress or strain value in the entire elastic region of the stressstrain curve. We can also calculate strain energy from the loading conditions and properties of a specific material.


Figure 12: Typical Stress-Strain curve and relevant information. (University of Texas - Arlington, n.d.)

## Project Goal

According to IDEO users and clinicians, the IDEO allows patients to walk more normally than without the device. This normal motion can be described by the motion of healthy body segments. Since walking is painful for patients with lower leg trauma, gathering motion data for injured segments without any aid would not be a preferred method to show the function of the device. We know that injured body segments will produce unnatural patterns in gait, but we would still like to demonstrate how the device works.


For this study, we studied the walking gait of an IDEO user. After being seriously injured in a tour overseas with the Army, she participated in Ryan Blanck's rehabilitation clinic to treat her injuries. She experienced lower leg trauma and exhibits the symptoms of drop foot. With the aid provided
by the Hanger Clinic, she is now an active member of the community and uses the IDEO every day. Because of the sustained effectiveness of the IDEO, she is able to serve others as a firefighter, EMT, and police officer part time without being inhibited by her injury (Van Cott, 2018).

## Identifying IDEO

 FunctionTo demonstrate the func- gait. The use of force plates tion of the IDEO, ground reaction force measurements were gathered on one IDEO user. Motion Sensing was used in allows us to gather ground reaction force data. By consequently using Motion Sensing to gather displacement data, conjunction with force plates to we are able to calculate the determine the reaction forces velocity and acceleration of in the body experienced during each body segment. By com-


Figure 13: Methods of Identifying IDEO Function

## Identifying IDEO Function

bining the principles of kinetics and kinematics, we are able to use the external forces acting on a subject with the internal motion of segments to determine reaction forces in the body.

Material testing was performed on the active components of the IDEO to obtain the mechanical properties. The stress-strain relationship of the carbon fiber struts was obtained to relate it to bending stress experienced during gait. This allows us to quantify the stored energy in the device during use. The following graphic shows the flow of information and data utilized for this study.

## Force Plate Data

The AMTI Force Plate can read forces and moments in three dimensions applied to the top surface. This allows us to create a Ground Reaction Force vector that can be factored into our force equations during the stance phase of the leg being measured. Since the stance phase involves Heel Strike to Toe Off, we can normalize our force data to become a function of this full phase. The AMTINetForce software sampling rate is set at 120 Hz .

## 3D Motion Sensing

The Polhemus G4 Motion Sensing system pro-
duces motion data at each sensor connected. These sensors are connected using sensor hubs that transmit data wirelessly to the PC. The displacement is relative to the Polhemus Source Box, which sets the origin of the global coordinate system.

When connected properly, the data for linear and Euler displacement at each sensor can be transmitted in real time. The data are measured in all three dimensions, with $X, Y$, and $Z$ linear displacement and Euler angles relative to $X, Y$, and $Z$. The sampling rate for the Polhemus G4 system is 120 Hz and cannot be adjusted.

## Gait Analysis

An analysis of the patient's gait was performed using both Motion Sensing and a Force Plate. We gathered data on the patient's healthy left limb as well as her injured right limb equipped with the IDEO. Five trials were performed for her injured leg, and four trials were performed for her healthy leg. Prior to testing, her relevant body segments were measured, and we found her total body mass to equal 91.62 kg . Masses of relevant body segments were obtained using the Anthropometric table described earlier.

## Identifying IDEO Function

The gait analysis experiment involved a runway created using three wooden platforms and a force plate. The first two wooden platforms were placed before the force plate, and the last platform was placed after the force plate. A more complete diagram of this setup is shown in Figure 14.

The Polhemus source box is positioned at the beginning of the runway along one side to create the origin of our global coordinate system. According to this Polhemus System, the $+X$ direction is forward, down the runway created by the wooden platforms, the $+Y$ direction is to the right of the leftmost edge of the platforms, and the $+Z$ direction is above the source box. This creates a Left Handed Coordinate System, which is not typical, but still usable.

After the sensor hubs, force plate, and source box were all initialized, the sensors were placed on her body. The medial-lateral leg and foot widths were measured to obtain the Y coordinates relevant for the middle of the leg and foot. The leg segment distance was measured


Figure 14: Gait Analysis full equipment setup, with labeled global coordinate system

## Identifying IDEO Function

from femoral condyles to medial malleolus to find total length of the lower leg. The foot segment distance was measured from lateral malleolus to head metatarsal II to obtain the length of the foot. These Anthropometric values allow for approximate values for proximal and distal lengths of segments from the center of mass. All sensor locations on the subject's legs can be visualized in Figures 15 and 16.

The first two locations on the following list were used to track the motion of the foot and leg. The last three sensors on this list create body segment axes on the foot and leg that have an angular orientation that we were able to track.

For Healthy (Left) Leg \& Foot Calculations, sensors were placed at each of the following:

- the midpoint of the foot segment on the lateral side of the foot (COM of the foot)
- $43.3 \%$ of the way down the leg from the knee ( $0.433^{*}$ leg length), on the lateral side of the leg (COM of the leg)
- the femoral condyle on the lateral side of the leg
- the heel of the foot on the lateral side
- the ends of the metatarsal bones in the foot on the lateral side

Since the IDEO combines the foot, ankle, and leg into one body segment, we can track this segment using one sensor located at the center of mass.

For Injured (Right) Leg/IDEO Calculations, sensors were placed at each of the following:

- $60.6 \%$ of the way down the leg from the knee
(0.606* $\operatorname{leg}$ length), on the lateral side of the leg (COM of total segment)
- the femoral condyle on the lateral side of the leg
- the heel of the foot on the lateral side
- the ends of the metatarsal bones in the foot on
the lateral side
- Below the lower end of the struts on the IDEO

After each sensor is placed correctly, the patient should be able to move unrestricted by connecting wires. The patient started by standing still on the edge of the first platform. The synchronized data collection began when the patient first began walking. The second step was centered on the force plate, and the patient came to a stop after stepping off the end of the final platform.


Figure 15: Sensor locations on subject's healthy leg


Figure 16: Sensor locations on subject's injured leg
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## Motion \& Force Data Analysis

The AMTINetForce software produced both a .txt file and a .bsf file that were used to find relevant data. The .txt file contained the force and moment values over time in all three dimensions. BioAnalysis was used to determine the exact elapsed time when the Heel Strike phase begins and when the Toe Off phase ends, using data from the .bsf file. The Polhemus PiMgr software produced a .csv file that contained all of the linear and Euler displacement data along with the corresponding sample number.

MatLab was used to organize and manipulate all the data such that they could be used in force equations. The full MatLab scripts for Healthy Calculations, Injured Calculations and Calculations related to Energy are all available in Appendix A.

Once a full set of raw data was gathered for each trial, the raw data was truncated to only include samples that occurred when the subject's foot was in contact with the force plate. This truncated displacement data was filtered using a 5th order low-pass Butterworth
filter to reduce high frequency noise. A Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) was performed on the truncated data to determine the relevant frequencies appropriate for our filter.

The displacement data during stance phase was obtained from the Polhemus sensors. This data was differentiated to determine the corresponding velocity and acceleration of each relevant body segment. The acceleration of these components is critical for the force


Figure 17: Free Body Diagrams of the Foot and Ankle during one step
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## Motion and Force Data Analysis

calculations that we need to determine joint reaction forces. The following free body diagrams show the forces acting on body segments during gait. Figure 17 shows how forces are acting on healthy body segments, and Figure 18 shows how the same types of forces act on the user's injured foot and leg with the IDEO.


Figure 18: Free Body Diagram of the combined segments created by the IDEO

Because we know that the sum of the forces in a system equal mass times acceleration, the equations below show how these joint reaction forces were calculated using the ground reaction force, acceleration, and masses of relevant body segments. Note that for the injured leg calculations, the 'total' mass (m), Weight (W), and acceleration (a) take into account the IDEO, foot, and leg. This is because the ankle joint is replaced by the function of the IDEO, and therefore the IDEO creates one large body segment that absorbs the Ground Reaction Force (GRF). The forces along with acceleration are all in three dimensions to determine reaction force in three dimensions.

Healthy leg equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\text {ankle, } x y z}=\left(m_{\text {foot }} * a_{\text {foot, } x y z}\right)-G R F_{\text {xyz }}-W_{\text {foot, } x y z} \\
& F_{\text {knee, } x y z}=\left(m_{\text {leg }} * a_{\text {leg, } x y z}\right)+F_{\text {ankle, } x y z}-W_{\text {leg, } x y z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Injured leg equation:
$F_{\text {knee }, \mathrm{xyz}}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{\text {total }} * a_{\text {total, } \mathrm{xyz}}\right)-G R F_{\mathrm{xyz}}-W_{\text {total, } \mathrm{xyz}}$

## Identifying IDEO Function

## Motion and Force Data Analysis

All trials for both legs were normalized in one plot as a function of the stance phase. This normalization allows us to notice changes in force distribution at the same point in the total percent of the stance phase. This was done for both Ground Reaction Forces and Knee Reaction Forces in three dimensions.

To ensure that the user was walking with similar cadence between both legs, the total time spent in stance phase was gathered for each trial on each leg. Figure 18 and Table 3 show these values over each trial. This set of data for total stance times indicates that the injured leg exhibits a slightly shorter stance time, but we cannot determine whether or not the user's cadence is even or not because we did not measure the total cycle time for each step. Duration of stance phase for the healthy leg is very slightly longer than that of the injured leg by only 0.075
seconds on average. This difference may have an impact on the overall gait of the subject, but based on this variable alone we cannot make any definite conclusions about the cadence of the user's gait.

Table 3: Stance times for each foot over all trials

|  | Healthy Leg <br> Stance Time [s] | Injured Leg (IDEO) <br> Stance Time [s] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial 1 | 1.000 | 0.900 |
| Trial 2 | 1.034 | 0.966 |
| Trial 3 | 1.083 | 0.992 |
| Trial 4 | 0.958 | 0.908 |
| Trial 5 | - | 0.950 |
| Average $\pm$ St. <br> Dev | $1.018 \pm 0.053$ | $0.943 \pm 0.039$ |

## Identifying IDEO Function

The data gathered for the user's injured leg with the IDEO was similar to that of her healthy leg. Slight variability was observed between the trials for the injured leg in the $X$ and $Y$ directions. The greatest amount of variability was observed in the $Y$ direction (lateral) for both legs. This is true partially due to the fact that Y reaction forces are smaller in magnitude than others, and slight changes in movements between trials can influence this data with noise. Please note that the values reaction forces in the $Y$ direction are inverted, as the right and left leg both have medial and lateral components in opposite directions.

It is clear from the data that both the ground and knee reaction forces experienced with the IDEO are more delayed, as the expected peaks in the data come later in the stance phase. As shown in the typical forces experienced during gait, we expected a certain number of peaks for each set of data in each direction. We expected two pronounced peaks in the $X$ and $Z$ directions, and three peaks in the $Y$ direction. The data gathered clearly show these peaks with good accuracy.

## Ground Reaction Forces

The ground reaction forces observed in the data show interesting patterns. These external forces drive the kinematics of the body. Since the ankle, knee, and hip reactions are related to these forces, these results are extremely valuable.

The results for ground reaction force in the X direction (forward) show a strong correlation between both legs. However, slight differences in the two peak values were observed, as the injured leg showed peaks with lesser magnitude than the healthy leg. Both of the peaks for the $X$ direction also took longer to develop during stance phase. For the $Y$ and $Z$ components, similar observations were made. For both directions, all of the expected peaks had similar magnitude. Also, the earlier peaks took longer to develop, but the final peak for each $X$ and $Y$ occurred at the same point of the stance phase. The plots for all Ground Reaction Force trials are shown in Figures $19-24$.


Figure 19: Healthy Ground Reaction Force - X Direction


Figure 20: Healthy Ground Reaction Force - Y Direction


Figure 22: IDEO Ground Reaction Force - X Direction


Figure 23: IDEO Ground Reaction Force - Y Direction


Figure 21: Healthy Ground Reaction Force - Z Direction


Figure 24: IDEO Ground Reaction Force - Z Direction
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## Knee Reaction Forces

Since the ground reaction force is directly correlated to the knee reaction force, they follow similar patterns seen in Figures 25-30. The observed differences for peaks in knee reaction force are the same as the differences in ground reaction force peaks. In general, there was limited variability in all trials measuring the subject's healthy leg. There are clear patterns in the reaction forces in all three dimensions. This is especially true for the $X$ and $Z$ reaction forces, which exhibit peaks and troughs at similar instances. The knee reaction force in the $Y$ direction (lateral) between trials varied more than in the other directions.

The data gathered for the IDEO knee reaction force was much more interesting than the data for the healthy leg. For the $X$ direction, there is initially a large amount of variability before reaching midstance and toe off. After this point, the data becomes much more coherent, and a clear pattern can be observed. Similarly, the knee reaction force in the $Y$ direction shows a clear pattern at first, but becomes more scattered once midstance is achieved. Note that the value for knee reaction force in the $Y$ direction is opposite to the healthy leg, since positive $Y$ values are to the right of the subject and vice versa. The pattern observed for the $Z$ direction (vertical) knee reaction force was very similar to the healthy leg. However, the patterns between $X$ and $Y$ trials were slightly different for each leg, but the peaks of the same magnitude can clearly be observed for all of these trials.


Figure 25: Healthy Knee Reaction
Force - X Direction


Figure 26: Healthy Knee Reaction Force - Y Direction


Figure 27: Healthy Knee Reaction Force - Z Direction


Figure 28: IDEO Knee Reaction Force - X Direction


Figure 29: IDEO Knee Reaction
Force - Y Direction


Figure 30: IDEO Knee Reaction Force - Z Direction
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## Using Instron 5544 \& Bluehill Software

As previously described, the IDEO uses two carbon fiber struts along the posterior end of the device. During walking, the flexion in these struts is the driving action that allows for energy storage and transfer, replacing the function of injured muscles. As reported by the inventor of the IDEO, the device was modelled to utilize the struts as the only active components within the device. This means that the foot bed and knee cuff are rigid bodies that do not deflect significantly when force is applied during gait.

To accurately model the energy storage that the device utilizes, the properties of the struts were acquired. A three point bend test was performed on solid, pultruded, unidirectional, carbon fiber rods to obtain the stress-strain relationship for this material. This was done using an Instron 5544 machine in conjunction with Bluehill Instron software as shown in Figure 31 above. This test applied force to the midpoint of the rod, which was simply supported at both ends. The test was stopped once the rod had visibly broken, shown in Figure 32.

This combination of hardware and software provided the relationship between force and displacement at the midpoint of our carbon fiber rods. To create the stress-strain curve for our specific material, we used the dimensions of the rods tested. By performing this test, we were able to determine the elastic modulus of the material and the full stress-strain relationship by using the following equation.

$$
\mathrm{E}=(\mathrm{PL} \wedge 3) /\left(48^{*} \mathrm{~d}^{*} \mathrm{I}\right)
$$



Figure 31: Three Point Bend Test experiment using Instron 5544


Figure 32: Broken Carbon Fiber rod after bending experiment
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## Stress-Strain Relationship of Active Carbon Fiber Struts

After acquiring the relationship between force and extension using the Instron 5544, a stress-strain curve was calculated using the dimensions of the carbon fiber struts used in the IDEO. The elastic limit for this specific material occurs around 6530 MPa . This type of carbon fiber exhibits a flexural modulus of 326 GPa . Although carbon fiber with much higher stiffness is available, the combined relationship between stiffness, weight, and cost are all favorable for this application. The following graphic shows the force vs displacement curve obtained from the Intron experiment in Figure 33.


Figure 33: Force vs Displacement curve for Carbon Fiber using Instron 5544

## Bending Stress and Energy

Since the IDEO uses carbon fiber struts identical to the rods tested, we are able to calculate the strain energy stored in these struts during gait using forces and moments acting on the struts. The following free body diagram shows how the forces acting on the IDEO relate to the coordinate system created by it. The $X$ and $Z$ axes as related to the struts are different than the $X$ and $Z$ axes as related to the Global Coordinate System (GCS) that was used to determine relevant forces.

## Identifying IDEO Function



Figure 34: Free Body Diagrams of a user's injured leg before and after force transformations
As shown in Figure 34 above, the ground reaction force acting on the foot bed of the IDEO and the knee reaction force are the forces that create flexion in the struts. The knee and ground reaction forces are resolved into global $X$ and $Z$ components, as this is the specific data we have gathered over the stance phase. These vectors were transformed using a force transformation matrix that utilized the change in orientation of the struts relative to the original Global Coordinate System. This matrix is available within the MatLab code in Appendix A. The result created a Local Coordinate System (LCS) that includes forces acting on both ends of the struts.

These forces create moments acting on the struts, and by using the measured dimensions of the IDEO and relevant body segments, we calculated the total bending moment. This was done by summing the moments and forces with relevant moment arms. The plot that shows this bending moment over the stance phase for all trials is shown in Figure 35.

## Identifying IDEO Function

The moments acting on the struts cause bending stress, and the following equation shows how bending stress was calculated. This stress is plotted over stance phase for all trials, shown in Figure 36.

Stress $_{\text {max, bending }}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {total }}{ }^{*} \mathrm{y}\right) / \mathrm{I}$
$=M_{\text {GRF }}+M_{\text {KRF }}+\left(r K R F * F_{\text {KRF }, x}\right)^{*} y / I_{\text {IDEO }}$


Figure 36: Total Bending Stress applied to IDEO struts


Figure 35: Total Bending Moment applied to IDEO struts

The maximum amount of bending stress occurs anywhere between 70-130 MPa over the five trials. Since the yield limit for this material is 6530 MPa , the device can withstand this amount of stress on a consistent basis.

Using this model, we are able to quantify the strain energy stored in the device during the gait cycle in Joules. The strain energy is calculated using the following equation on page 36 . This stored energy is a critical

## Identifying IDEO Function

component to the function of the device, since an injured foot will not be able to generate and absorb this amount of energy without causing pain. Figure 37 shows this amount of strain energy stored in the struts of the IDEO during stance phase.

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {total }} \wedge 2^{*} \mathrm{~L}\right) /\left(2^{*} \mathrm{E}^{*} \mathrm{I}\right)
$$

The strain energy in the struts of the IDEO ranges anywhere from 4-11 e+13 Joules. There are two distinct peaks in this graph, as well as for the graphs for bending moment and bending stress. The combined body segment created by the IDEO allow for bending of the struts at the points when the X reaction force at the knee, the Ground Reaction Moment, and Knee Reaction Moment all experience peaks. These peaks are all occurring at relatively the same percent of gait cycle (at heel strike and toe off), and the combined total stored energy shows this pattern as well. For most of the trials, the bending at heel strike seems to be relatively small compared to the bending at toe off.

## Conclusions

## XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

The overall gait and balance of the subject was determined to be similar across both legs. However, very slight differences in duration of the stance phase were noted. Healthy gait involves even cyclic motion, and visible limping could have been identified by these factors. However, the IDEO does allow for evenly distributed forces and limited shifts in balance during gait.

Force and Motion trials all showed similar patterns in all three axes. However, there were some noted discrepancies between the data sets that indicate how the IDEO impacts the user's gait.

In the $X$ direction, IDEO peak reaction forces were lower than healthy peak forces, and both peaks at Heel Strike and Toe Off took longer to develop. This decrease in magnitude at the injured leg indicates that the user is rolling through her stance phase, as opposed to pushing or pulling with her foot through this step. In the $Y$ direction, all reaction force peaks had similar magnitude, but the first two peaks experienced at Heel Strike and Midstance occurred later in the stance phase than healthy peaks. Similar-
ly, all reaction force peaks in the $Z$ direction had similar magnitude, but the first peak experienced at Heel Strike took longer to develop within the stance phase.

All of the expected peaks in reaction force data at Heel Strike for the subject's injured leg took longer to develop than that of the healthy leg. This is potentially due to the fact that each IDEO user is taught to focus on striking the ground with the heel in order to roll through Midstance and Toe Off (Blanck, 2018). This focus on perfecting gait to adjust to the injury could be causing the shifts in Heel Strike peak force data.

The material properties of the struts of the IDEO are favorable for this application. The maximum applied bending stress is so low relative to the stiffness of the material that use of the device while walking will not impact the life of the device. We assumed that the rest of the device is a rigid body for modelling purposes, but in reality, some small amounts energy are also potentially stored or dissipated in slight flexion of the knee cuff and foot bed as well. For the first peak in stored energy, it seems that the inability

## Conclusions

## XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

to plantar flex the foot leads to some bending in the segments, but can also sustain this performance over struts, as the ground force is all at the heel at first. As a long period of time. The reaction forces allowable expected, there are greater amounts of flexion of the by the device and the mechanical responses within struts at toe off, and it appears that almost all of the the device were quantified and show this to be true. energy is restored to the leg before toe off. This study examined the mechanical performance and function of the IDEO and the results show that the device is not only capable of restoring function of injured body

## Limitations and Recommendations for Further Study

Modelling of biomechanics is typically based on Anthropometric data. This study also used Anthropometric tables to determine relevant dimensions and values to model the gait of the user. These values are not exact, and the results of our experiments should take this into account.

The team first attempted to model the gait of an individual using modelling softwares such as SolidWorks and Creo. This plan involved first creating a human model that would be made to walk. The software could then output data similar to the forces and moments quantified in this study. This was intended to be done using the kinematic data gathered using Motion Capture or Motion Sensing. However, using inverse kinematics to calculate these forces proved to be inconsistent with expected values. The team used a fourbar mechanism to test this theory. The results of this test were insufficient to proceed to a human model. Similarly, other attempts to create the human assembly itself proved to be difficult, as the combination of softwares used did not allow for sufficient material and mechanical properties.

For this type of model to produce accurate results, the overall material properties should be opti-
mized to the exact type of human model tested, i.e. a 75th percentile female. Additionally, the linkages that connect all body segments should match the specific joints in the body. Simple revolute and pin joints will not be sufficient in the modelling of the human body, as this would indicate an ideal joint instead of one that can experience soft body deformation. Further development in the computational modelling of human gait should be explored, as this could provide insight into the importance of many different variables associated with gait.

This project was not able to identify the joint torques and angles experienced over the gait cycle for the user. This was due to the fact that we could not accurately track relevant distances over time that would correspond to relevant moment arms used in calculations. To accurately track these results, the team would need more accurate sensing equipment that would correlate with force sensing. Specifically, the distance between the point at ground reaction force (center of pressure, COP) and other points in the body would need to be accurately identified over time to produce joint reaction moments. These data would provide additional insight into the function of the IDEO and how its reactions compare to the reactions for the user's

## Limitations and Recommendations for Further Study

healthy leg.
There were multiple assumptions in the modelling of the bending experienced in the device. As mentioned in the report, the device is intended to be rigid at the knee cuff and foot plate, allowing for bending only in the struts. However, slight deflections in these other components of the device allow for force absorption and energy storage over time. This project only identified the bending of one component of the device, but deflections in other components should not be ignored entirely. The bending model used a three point bend test with simple supports to create the stressstrain profile for our material. During use, the struts are not simply supported, and are buried in the carbon fiber layup of the other components. This discrepancy could have affected the results of the bending and energy experiments. Similarly, any inaccuracies from the motion data gathered over time will have affected the accuracy of forces and energy calculated. Slight movements of the user's foot within the device are expected to be minimal, but this motion should not be ignored as it can and may have affected our results. The team initially intended on using strain gauges to measure variables indicating material properties over time, but due to a lack of resources and compatibility between soft-
ware used, the team used a bending beam model instead. It would be interesting to redo this experiment with and without strain gauges to see if the models match or not.

Lastly, while this test examined the duration of stance phase for each leg, the team was not able to definitively claim whether or not the subject's cadence during gait was even. This is a way to show whether or not the user is limping while using the device. For this experiment to be more robust, a metronome or other device could have been used to refer the user to a common cadence for her steps. This would have allowed us to make claims on whether or not the device allows for an even or symmetric gait.
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## Appendix A: Full MatLab Code - Injured Leg \& Energy Calculations

Two MatLab Scripts were created to model the forces and motion of the subject, with one script analyzing all healthy data and the other script analyzing all data related to the user's injured leg. Subsequent calculations related to material properties, bending, stress, and energy are also contained in the injured leg's script. Many different sections of this code were progressively edited for robustness, and the following code could be used to generate any plots associated with this project. The script for the injured leg comes first in Appendix A then the script for the healthy leg comes in Appendix B.

```
%% Import files for coincident GRF
%% Import files for coincident GRF 
```

```
fnamemotiontrial1 =
```

fnamemotiontrial1 =
"IDEOtriallcsv.csv" ;
rawmotiondatatrial1 = csvread
(fnamemotiontrial1) ;
% Stance times
% trial 1:0.525,1.425
%trial 2: 0.742, 1.708
%trial 3:0.575, 1.567
% trial 4:0.617 , 1.525
%trial 5: 0.483, 1.433
fnameforcetrial1 =
"IDEOtrial1txt.txt" ;
rawforcedatatrial1 = importdata
(fnameforcetrial1) ;

```
```

% INPUTS for this type of trial
HStimetrial1 = (0.525) ; % input [s]
TOtimetriall = (1.425) ; % input [s]
Lthick = (0.1099) ; %input [m] 4.33 in
Lwidth = (0.1295) ; %input [m] 5.1 in
Llength = (0.4064) ; %input [m] 16 in
footlength = (0.1575) ; %input [m] 6.2
in
mbody = (91.6257) ; %input [kg] 202
pounds
mIDEO = (0.68) ; %input [kg] 1.5 pounds
% extracting column data for force
*check y force

```
```

xforcetrial1 = rawforcedatatrial1
(:,1) ;
yforcetrial1 = rawforcedatatrial1
(:,2) ; % positive should be right side
(lateral for right foot)
zforcetrial1 = rawforcedatatrial1
(:,3) ;
% set sampling rate and inital time do-
main for raw data
Fs = 120 ;
forcesamplestrial1 = length
(rawforcedatatrial1) ;
forcetimetrial1 = (0:(1/Fs):
((forcesamplestrial1/Fs)-(1/Fs))) ;
% plot raw force data over time in
three dimensions

```
\% extract sample numbers from motion data to create time domain
\% delete the column with non numericals
motionsamplestrial1 = rawmotiondatatriall (: 4) ;
motiontimetrial1 \(=(0:(1 / \mathrm{Fs}):(()\) length (motionsamplestrial1)/2)/Fs) -(1/Fs)) ;
\% organize data by sensor/hub with if statements
hublsensorltrial1 \(=\) zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial1)/2)), 6) ;

\section*{\(j=1 ;\)}
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial1))
if (rawmotiondatatriall(i,2) == 1)
\[
\& \& \quad(r a w m o t i o n d a t a t r i a l 1(i, 3)==1)
\]
hublsensor1trial1(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatriall(i, 5:10);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hub1sensor2trial1 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial1)/2)), 6) ;
\(j=1 ;\)
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial1))
if (rawmotiondatatrial1(i,2) == 1) \&\& (rawmotiondatatriall(i,3) == 2) hub1sensor2trial1(j, :) = rawmotiondatatriall(i, 5:10);
\[
j=j+1
\]
end
end
hub1sensor3trial1 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial1)/2)), 6) ;
```

j = 1;

```
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial1))
if (rawmotiondatatriall(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatriall(i,3) == 3)
hublsensor3trial1(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial1(i, 5:10);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
hub2sensor1trial1 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial1)/2)), 6) ;
\(j=1 ;\)
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatriall))
if (rawmotiondatatriall(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatriall(i,3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial1(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatriall(i, 5:10);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hub2sensor2trial1 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial1)/2)), 6) ;
j \(=1\);
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatriall))
if (rawmotiondatatriall(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatriall(i,3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial1(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatriall(i, 5:10);
\[
j=j+1
\]
end
\% 1,1 = COM of IDEO
\% 1,2 \(=\) femoral condyles at knee
\% 1,3 = heel
\% 2,1 = back of struts - not lateral
\% 2,2 = toes - metatarsals
\% here we can fix the sign of each set of data if we want, and correct the
\% value of the displacement data for the segment using the COM of the
\% total segment
mfootandleg = 0.061*mbody ;
msegment \(=\) mfootandleg + mIDEO ;

Ithick \(=((0.5 *\) Lthick \()+0.0502) /\)
msegment ;
Iwidth \(=(\) Lwidth +0.02\() /\) msegment ;
Ilength \(=((0.394 *\) Llength \()+0.205) /\) msegment ;
intom \(=0.0254\); \%[m/in]
\%extract displacement data , use offsets for COM
```

IDEOdispXtrial1 =
intom*hublsensor1trial1(:,1) - Ithick ;
IDEOdispYtrial1 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial1(:,2) - Iwidth ;
IDEOdispZtrial1 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial1(:,3) -
Ilength ;
% plot raw displacement data over time
in three dimensions
%Normalized Data inputs for full step
with force plate
HSsampletrial1 = floor(HStimetrial1*Fs)

- 2 ; %accounts for double differentia-
tion
TOsampletrial1 = floor
(TOtimetriall*Fs) ;
normalsampleswrongtriall = (0:
(TOsampletrial1 - HSsampletrial1)) ;
normalsamplestrial1 = transpose
(normalsampleswrongtrial1) ;

```
& plot
```

filteredIDEOdispXtriall = filtfilt
(filterl, n, normalIDEOdispXtrial1) ;
filteredIDEOdispYtriall = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispYtrial1) ;
filteredIDEOdispZtriall = filtfilt
(filter1, $n, ~ n o r m a l I D E O d i s p Z t r i a l 1) ~ ; ~$
\%use normalsamples time domain and plot
filtered displacement data
\%differentiate these displacement val-
ues to get velocity

## filteredIDEOvelXtrial1 = diff

(filteredIDEOdispXtriall) ;
filteredIDEOvelYtriall = diff
(filteredIDEOdispYtriall) ;
filteredIDEOvelZtrial1 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispZtriall) ;
\% make time domain and plot

```
veltimetrial1 = 0:(1/Fs):((1/Fs)*length ((HSsampletrial1+2):TOsampletrial1) ;
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial1) - (1/Fs)) ;
%differentiate these velocity values to
get accleration
finalIDEOaccXtrial1 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial1) ;
finalIDEOaccYtrial1 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelYtrial1) ;
finalIDEOaccZtrial1 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelZtrial1) ;
%create time domain & plot
acceltimetrial1 = (1/Fs) * (0:(length
(finalIDEOaccXtrial1)-1)) ;
% combine variables into 3D matrices
normalxforcetrial1 = xforcetrial1
((HSsampletrial1+2):TOsampletrial1) ;
```

((HSsampletrial1+2):TOsampletrial1) ;
normalzforcetrial1 = zforcetriall
((HSsampletrial1+2):TOsampletrial1) ;

IDEOacctrial1 = [finalIDEOaccXtrial1
finalIDEOaccYtriall finalIDEOac-
cZtrial1] ; \%[m/s^2]

GRFtrial1 = [normalxforcetrial1 normalyforcetrial1 normalzforcetrial1] ; \% [N]
\%use IDEOacc with GRF to calculate knee reaction force
gravity $=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 9.8\end{array}\right] ; \%\left[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\right]$ Z direction!

KRF3Dtrial1 $=$ (msegment.*IDEOacctrial1)

- GRFtriall - (msegment.*gravity) ;
\%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial1 = KRF3Dtrial1(:,1) ;
krYtrial1 = KRF3Dtrial1(:,2) ;
krZtrial1 = KRF3Dtrial1(:,3) ;
normalyforcetriall = yforcetriall

trial2 (: , 4) ;
motiontimetrial2 $=(0:(1 / F s):(()$ length (motionsamplestrial2)/2)/Fs) -(1/Fs))) ;
\% organize data by sensor/hub with if statements
hublsensorltrial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial2)/2)), 6) ;
$j=1$;
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 3) == 1)
hublsensor1trial2(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1
$$

end
end
hub1sensor2trial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial2)/2)), 6) ;
$j=1 ;$
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2 (i,2) ==1) 46

```
&& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 3) == 2)
    hub1sensor2trial2(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial2(i, 5:10);
    j = j + 1;
    end
end
hub1sensor3trial2 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial2)/2)), 6) ;
```

$j=1 ;$
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,3) == 3)
hub1sensor3trial2(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial2(i, 5:10);
$j=j+1 ;$
end
end
hub2sensor1trial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial2)/2) , 6) ;
$j=1 ;$
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 2)
\% $2,2=$ toes - metatarsals \&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial2(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

## end

end
hub2sensor2trial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial2)/2)), 6) ;
j = 1;
for $i=1$ : (length (rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 2) \&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial2(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1
$$

end

## end

\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\% $1,1=C O M$ of IDEO
\% $1,2=$ femoral condyles at knee
$\% 1,3=$ heel
\% $2,1=$ back of struts - not lateral
\% here we can fix the sign of each set of data if we want, and correct the
\% value of the displacement data for the segment using the COM of the
\% total segment
\%extract displacement data, use offsets for COM

IDEOdispXtrial2 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial2(:,1) - Ithick ;
IDEOdispYtrial2 =
intom*hublsensor1trial2(:,2) - Iwidth ;
IDEOdispZtrial2 =
intom*hublsensorltrial2(:,3) - Ilength ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time
in three dimensions

```
%Normalized Data inputs for full step
with force plate47
```

HSsampletrial2 = floor(HStimetrial2*Fs)

- 2 ; \%accounts for double differentiation

TOsampletrial2 = floor (TOtimetrial2*Fs) ;
normalsampleswrongtrial2 = (0:
(TOsampletrial2 - HSsampletrial2)) ;
normalsamplestrial2 = transpose (normalsampleswrongtrial2) ;
\%make the displacement data normalized
normalIDEOdispXtrial2 = IDEOdispXtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ;
normalIDEOdispYtrial2 = IDEOdispYtrial2
(HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ;
normalIDEOdispZtrial2 = IDEOdispZtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ;
\%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT
\%design fifth order butterworth filter
\%filter foot and leg displacement data \& plot
filteredIDEOdispXtrial2 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispXtrial2) ;
filteredIDEOdispYtrial2 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispYtrial2) ;
filteredIDEOdispZtrial2 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispZtrial2) ;
\%use normalsamples time domain and plot filtered displacement data
\%differentiate these displacement values \%create time domain \& plot to get velocity
filteredIDEOvelXtrial2 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispXtrial2) ;
filteredIDEOvelYtrial2 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispYtrial2) ;
filteredIDEOvelZtrial2 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispZtrial2) ;
\% make time domain and plot
veltimetrial2 = 0:(1/Fs):((1/Fs)*length
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial2) - (1/Fs)) ;
\%differentiate these velocity values to get accleration
finalIDEOaccXtrial2 = diff (filteredIDEOvelXtrial2) ;
finalIDEOaccYtrial2 = diff (filteredIDEOvelYtrial2) ;
finalIDEOaccZtrial2 = diff (filteredIDEOvelZtrial2) ;
acceltimetrial2 = (1/Fs) * (0: (length (finalIDEOaccXtrial2)-1)) ;
\% combine variables into 3D matrices
normalxforcetrial2 = xforcetrial2
((HSsampletrial2+2):TOsampletrial2) ;
normalyforcetrial2 = yforcetrial2
((HSsampletrial2+2):TOsampletrial2) ;
normalzforcetrial2 = zforcetrial2 ((HSsampletrial2+2):TOsampletrial2) ;

IDEOacctrial2 = [finalIDEOaccXtrial2 fi nalIDEOaccYtrial2 finalIDEOaccZtrial2] $\%\left[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\right]$

GRFtrial2 $=$ [normalxforcetrial2 normalyforcetrial2 normalzforcetrial2] ; \% [N]
\%use IDEOacc with GRF to calculate knee reaction force

KRF3Dtrial2 = (msegment.*IDEOacctrial2) - GRFtrial2 - (msegment.*gravity) ;
\%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial2 = KRF3Dtrial2(:,1)
krYtrial2 = KRF3Dtrial2(:,2) ;
krZtrial2 = KRF3Dtrial2(:,3) ;
kneetimetrial2 = acceltimetrial2 ;
\%\% Import files for coincident GRF (txt) and motion (csv) of all trials
fnameforcetrial3 = "IDEOtrial3txt.txt" ; \% positive should be right side (lateral for right foot)
zforcetrial3 = rawforcedatatrial3(:,3) ;

## fnamemotiontrial3 =

"IDEOtrial3csv.csv" ;
rawmotiondatatrial3 = csvread
(fnamemotiontrial3) ;

```
% Stance times
```

\% trial 1: 0.525, 1.425
\% trial 2: 0.742, 1.708
\% trial 3: 0.575 , 1.567
\% trial 4: 0.617, 1.525
\% trial 5: 0.483, 1.433
\% INPUTS for this type of trial
HStimetrial3 = (0.575) ; \% input [s]
TOtimetrial3 $=(1.576)$; input [s]

```
% extracting column data for force
```

*check y force
\% extract sample numbers from motion data to create time domain
\% delete the column with non numericals
motionsamplestrial3 = rawmotiondatatrial3(:,4);
motiontimetrial3 = (0:(1/Fs):(((length
(motionsamplestrial3)/2)/Fs)-(1/Fs))) ;
xforcetrial3 = rawforcedatatrial3(:,1) ;
yforcetrial3 $=$ rawforcedatatrial3(:,2) ; \% organize data by sensor/hub with if 49
statements

## end

hublsensor1trial3 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial3)/2)), 6) ;
$j=1$
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial3))
if (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,3) == 1)
hublsensorltrial3(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial3(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
end
hub1sensor2trial3 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial3) /2) , 6) ;
$j=1$;
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial3))
if (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,3) == 2)
hub1sensor2trial3(j, :) = rawmo tiondatatrial3(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
hublsensor3trial3 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial3)/2)), 6) ;
$j=1 ;$
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial3))
if (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial3(i, 3) == 3)
hub1sensor3trial3(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial3(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
end
hub2sensor1trial3 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial3)/2)), 6) ;
$j=1 ;$
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial3))
if (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial3(i, 3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial3(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial3(i, 5:10);
end
end

## $j=j+1 ;$

hub2sensor2trial3 = zeros((floor (length (motionsamplestrial3)/2) , 6) ;
$j=1 ;$
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial3))
if (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial3(i,3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial3(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial3(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
end
\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\% $1,1=\mathrm{COM}$ of IDEO
\% $1,2=$ femoral condyles at knee
\% $1,3=$ heel
$\% 2,1=$ back of struts - not lateral
\% 2,2 = toes - metatarsals

```
% value of the displacement data for the
segment using the COM of the
% total segment
TOsampletrial3 = floor
(TOtimetrial3*Fs) ;
normalsampleswrongtrial3 = (0: (TOsampletrial3 - HSsampletrial3)) ; normalsamplestrial3 = transpose (normalsampleswrongtrial3) ;
```

\%extract displacement data, use offsets
for COM
IDEOdispXtrial3 =
intom*hulb1sensor1trial3(:,1) - Ithick ;
IDEOdispYtrial3 =
intom*hublsensor1trial3(:,2) - Iwidth ;
IDEOdispZtrial3 =
intom*hublsensorltrial3(:,3) - Ilength ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time
in three dimensions
\%Normalized Data inputs for full step
with force plate
HSsampletrial3 = floor(HStimetrial3*Fs)

- 2 ; \%accounts for double differentia-
tion
\%make the displacement data normalized
normalIDEOdispXtrial3 = IDEOdispXtrial3 (HSsampletrial3:TOsampletrial3) ; normalIDEOdispYtrial3 = IDEOdispYtrial3 (HSsampletrial3:TOsampletrial3) ;
normalIDEOdispZtrial3 = IDEOdispZtrial3 (HSsampletrial3:TOsampletrial3) ;
\%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT
\%design fifth order butterworth filter
\%filter foot and leg displacement data \& plot

```
filteredIDEOdispXtrial3 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispXtrial3) ;
filteredIDEOdispYtrial3 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispYtrial3) ;
filteredIDEOdispZtrial3 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispZtrial3) ;
```

\%use normalsamples time domain and plot filtered displacement data

```
%differentiate these displacement values
to get velocity
```

```
filteredIDEOvelXtrial3 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispXtrial3) ;
filteredIDEOvelYtrial3 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispYtrial3) ;
filteredIDEOvelZtrial3 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispZtrial3) ;
```

\% make time domain and plot
veltimetrial3 $=0:(1 / \mathrm{Fs}):((1 / \mathrm{Fs}) *$ length
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial3) - (1/Fs)) ;

```
%differentiate these velocity values to
get accleration
```

finalIDEOaccXtrial3 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial3) ;
finalIDEOaccYtrial3 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelYtrial3) ;
finalIDEOaccZtrial3 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelZtrial3) ;
\%create time domain \& plot
acceltimetrial3 $=(1 / \mathrm{Fs}) *(0:(l e n g t h$
(finalIDEOaccXtrial3)-1)) ;
\% combine variables into 3D matrices
normalxforcetrial3 = xforcetrial3
((HSsampletrial3+2):TOsampletrial3) ;
normalyforcetrial3 = yforcetrial3
((HSsampletrial3+2):TOsampletrial3) ;
normalzforcetrial3 = zforcetrial3
((HSsampletrial3+2):TOsampletrial3) ;

IDEOacctrial3 = [finalIDEOaccXtrial3 finalIDEOaccYtrial3 finalIDEOaccZtrial3] ; \% [m/s^2]

GRFtrial3 $=$ [normalxforcetrial3 nor-
malyforcetrial3 normalzforcetrial3] ; \% [N]
\%use IDEOacc with GRF to calculate knee reaction force

KRF3Dtrial3 = (msegment.*IDEOacctrial3)

```
- GRFtrial3 - (msegment.*gravity) ;
```

\%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial3 = KRF3Dtrial3(:,1) ;
krYtrial3 $=\operatorname{KRF} 3 D t r i a l 3(:, 2)$;
krZtrial3 $=$ KRF3Dtrial3(:,3) ;
kneetimetrial3 = acceltimetrial3 ;
\%\% Import files for coincident GRF (txt) and motion (csv) of all trials
fnameforcetrial4 = "IDEOtrial4txt.txt" ;
rawforcedatatrial4 = importdata
(fnameforcetrial4) ;
fnamemotiontrial4 =
"IDEOtrial4csv.cSv" ;
rawmotiondatatrial4 = csvread
(fnamemotiontrial4) ;
\% Stance times
\% trial 1: 0.525, 1.425
\% trial 2: 0.742, 1.708
\% trial 3: 0.575, 1.567
\% trial 4: 0.617, 1.525
\% trial 5: 0.483, 1.433
\% INPUTS for this type of trial

```
HStimetrial4 = (0.617) ; % input [s]
```

TOtimetrial4 $=(1.525)$; input [s]
\% extracting column data for force

* check y force
xforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:,1) ; yforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:, 292;

```
% positive should be right side (lateral
% set sampling rate and inital time do-
main for raw data
forcesamplestrial4 = length
(rawforcedatatrial4) ;
forcetimetrial4 = (0:(1/Fs):
((forcesamplestrial4/Fs)-(1/Fs))) ;
% plot raw force data over time in three
dimensions
```

```
for right foot)
```

for right foot)
zforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:,3) ;

```
zforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:,3) ;
```

\% extract sample numbers from motion da-
ta to create time domain
\% delete the column with non numericals
\% extract sample numbers from motion da-
ta to create time domain
\% delete the column with non numericals

```
motionsamplestrial4 = rawmotiondata-
trial4(:,4);
motiontimetrial4 = (0:(1/Fs):(()length
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)/Es)-(1/Fs))) ;
```

statements
end
end

```
hublsensor1trial4 = zeros((floor(length
```

hublsensor1trial4 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 6) ;
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 6) ;
j = 1;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i, 2) == 1)
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i, 2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 1)
hub1sensorltrial4(j, :) = rawmo-
hub1sensorltrial4(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);
j = j + 1;
j = j + 1;
end
end
end
end
hub1sensor2trial4 = zeros((floor(length
hub1sensor2trial4 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial4) /2)), 6) ;
(motionsamplestrial4) /2)), 6) ;
j = 1;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 1)
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 2)
hub1sensor2trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
hub1sensor2trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);
j = j + 1;
j = j + 1;
j = 1;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 2)
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 2)
hub2sensor1trial4 = zeros((floor(length
hub2sensor1trial4 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 6) ;
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 6) ;
\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 1)
\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
hub2sensor1trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);

```
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);
```

```
        j = j + 1;
    end
end
hub2sensor2trial4 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 6) ;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
    if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 2)
&& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 2)
    hub2sensor2trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:10);
    j = j + 1;
    end
end
% Sensor locations (lateral)
% 1,1 = COM of IDEO
% 1,2 = femoral condyles at knee
% 1,3 = heel
% 2,1 = back of struts - not lateral
% 2,2 = toes - metatarsals
```

[^0]of data if we want, and correct the tion
\% value of the displacement data for the TOsampletrial4 = floor segment using the COM of the (TOtimetrial4*Fs) ;
\% total segment
\%extract displacement data, use offsets \%make the displacement data normalized for COM

IDEOdispXtrial4 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial4(:,1) - Ithick ;
IDEOdispYtrial4 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial4(:,2) - Iwidth ;
IDEOdispZtrial4 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial4(:,3) - Ilength ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time
in three dimensions
\%Normalized Data inputs for full step with force plate
\%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT
\%design fifth order butterworth filter
\%filter foot and leg displacement data \& plot
normalIDEOdispXtrial4 = IDEOdispXtrial4 (HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normalIDEOdispYtrial4 = IDEOdispYtrial4 (HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normalIDEOdispZtrial4 = IDEOdispZtrial4
(HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
filteredIDEOdispXtrial4 $=$ filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispXtrial4) ;
filteredIDEOdispYtrial4 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispYtrial4) ;
filteredIDEOdispZtrial4 = filtfilt
(filter1, n, normalIDEOdispZtrial4) ;
\%use normalsamples time domain and plot filtered displacement data
\%differentiate these displacement values \%create time domain \& plot to get velocity
filteredIDEOvelXtrial4 = diff
$(f i l t e r e d I D E O d i s p X t r i a l 4) ~ ; ~$
filteredIDEOvelYtrial4 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispYtrial4) ;
filteredIDEOvelZtrial4 = diff
(filteredIDEOdispZtrial4) ;
\% make time domain and plot
veltimetrial4 = 0:(1/Fs): ((1/Fs)*length
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial4) - (1/Fs)) ;

```
%differentiate these velocity values to
get accleration
```

finalIDEOaccXtrial4 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelXtrial4) ;
finalIDEOaccYtrial4 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelYtrial4) ;
finalIDEOaccZtrial4 = diff
(filteredIDEOvelZtrial4) ;
(finalIDEOaccXtrial4)-1)) ;
\% combine variables into 3D matrices
normalxforcetrial4 = xforcetrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;
normalyforcetrial4 = yforcetrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;
normalzforcetrial4 = zforcetrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;

IDEOacctrial4 = [finalIDEOaccXtrial4 finalIDEOaccYtrial4 finalIDEOaccZtrial4] ; \% [m/s^2]

GRFtrial4 $=$ [normalxforcetrial4 normalyforcetrial4 normalzforcetrial4] ; \% [N]
\%use IDEOacc with GRF to calculate knee reaction force

KRF3Dtrial4 $=$ (msegment.*IDEOacctrial4)

- GRFtrial4 - (msegment.*gravity) ;
\%take out components of KRF3D

```
krXtrial4 = KRF3Dtrial4(:,1) ;
krYtrial4 = KRF3Dtrial4(:,2) ;
krZtrial4 = KRF3Dtrial4(:,3) ;
```

kneetimetrial4 = acceltimetrial4 ;
\% Import files for coincident GRF (txt) and motion (csv) of all trials
rawforcedatatrial5 = importdata
(fnameforcetrial5) ;
fnamemotiontrial5 =
"IDEOtrial5csv.csv" ;
rawmotiondatatrial5 = csvre
(fnamemotiontrial5) ;
\% Stance times
\%trial 1: $0.525,1.425$
\%trial 2: $0.742,1.708$
\%trial 3: $0.575,1.567$
\%trial 4: $0.617,1.525$
\%trial 5: $0.483,1.433$
\% INPUTS for this type of trial

| HStimetrial5 | $=(0.483) ; ~ \% ~ i n p u t ~[s] ~$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| TOtimetrial5 | $=(1.433) ; \%$ input [s] |

\% extracting column data for force
*check y force
xforcetrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5(:,1) ;
yforcetrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5(:,2) ; \% positive should be right side (lateral

```
for right foot)
zforcetrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5(:,3)
% set sampling rate and inital time do-
main for raw data
forcesamplestrial5 = length
(rawforcedatatrial5) ;
forcetimetrial5 = (0:(1/Fs):
((forcesamplestrial5/Fs)-(1/Fs))) ;
% plot raw force data over time in three
dimensions
% extract sample numbers from motion da-
ta to create time domain
% delete the column with non numericals
motionsamplestrial5 = rawmotiondata-
trial5(:,4);
motiontimetrial5 = (0:(1/Fs):(()length
(motionsamplestrial5)/2)/Es)-(1/Fs))) ;
```

\% organize data by sensor/hub with if
statements
hublsensorltrial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5) /2) , 6) ;
$j=1 ;$
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))

```
            if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 1)
```

\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 3) == 1)
hublsensor1trial5(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
end
hublsensor2trial5 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5)/2)), 6) ;

```
j = 1;
```

for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,3) == 2)
hub1sensor2trial5(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial5(i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end

```
        end
    end
    hub2sensor2trial5 = zeros((floor(length
    (motionsamplestrial5)/2)), 6) ;
hub2sensor2trial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5)/2)), 6) ;
```

hub2sensor1trial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5)/2)), 6) ;

## $j=1 ;$

for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial5(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 5:10);
$j=1 ;$
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial5(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial5 (i, 5:10);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end

## end

$j=1 ;$
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))

> if (rawmotiondatatrial5 (i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,3) == 2)
ondatatrial5(i, 5:10);
\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\% $1,1=\mathrm{COM}$ of IDEO
\% $1,2=$ femoral condyles at knee
$\% 1,3=$ heel
\% $2,1=$ back of struts - not lateral
\% $2,2=$ toes - metatarsals
\% here we can fix the sign of each set of data if we want, and correct the
\% value of the displacement data for the segment using the COM of the
\% total segment
\%extract displacement data , use offsets for COM

IDEOdispXtrial5 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial5(:,1) - Ithick ;
IDEOdispYtrial5 =
intom*hublsensorltrial5(:,2) - Iwidth ;
IDEOdispZtrial5 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial5(:,3) -
Ilength ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time in three dimensions
\%Normalized Data inputs for full step with force plate

HSsampletrial5 = floor(HStimetrial5*Fs)

| - 2 ; \%accounts for double differentiation | filteredIDEOdispXtrial5 = filtfilt <br> (filter1, n, normalIDEOdispXtrial5) ; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```TOsampletrial5 = floor (TOtimetrial5*Fs) ; normalsampleswrongtrial5 = (0: (TOsampletrial5 - HSsampletrial5)) ;``` | ```filteredIDEOdispYtrial5 = filtfilt (filter1, n, normalIDEOdispYtrial5) ; filteredIDEOdispZtrial5 = filtfilt (filter1, n, normalIDEOdispZtrial5) ;``` | \%differentiate these velocity values to get accleration |
| ```normalsamplestrial5 = transpose (normalsampleswrongtrial5) ;``` | \%use normalsamples time domain and plot filtered displacement data | ```finalIDEOaccXtrial5 = diff (filteredIDEOvelXtrial5) ; finalIDEOaccYtrial5 = diff (filteredIDEOvelYtrial5) ;``` |
|  |  | finalIDEOaccZtrial5 = diff (filteredIDEOvelZtrial5) ; |
| normalIDEOdispXtrial5 = IDEOdispXtrial5 (HSsampletrial5:TOsampletrial5) ; |  |  |
| normalIDEOdispYtrial5 = IDEOdispYtrial5 (HSsampletrial5:TOsampletrial5) ; | \%differentiate these displacement values to get velocity | \%create time domain \& plot |
| normalIDEOdispZtrial5 = IDEOdispZtrial5 (HSsampletrial5:TOsampletrial5) ; | filteredIDEOvelXtrial5 = diff (filteredIDEOdispXtrial5) ; | ```acceltimetrial5 = (1/Fs) * (0:(length (finalIDEOaccXtrial5)-1)) ;``` |
| \%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT | filteredIDEOvelYtrial5 = diff <br> (filteredIDEOdispYtrial5) ; |  |
|  | filteredIDEOvelZtrial5 = diff (filteredIDEOdispZtrial5) ; | \% combine variables into 3D matrices |
| \%design fifth order butterworth filter | \% make time domain and plot | ```normalxforcetrial5 = xforcetrial5 ((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ; normalyforcetrial5 = yforcetrial5 ((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ;``` |
| \%filter foot and leg displacement data \& plot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { veltimetrial5 = 0:(1/Fs):((1/Fs)*length } \\ & (f i l t e r e d I D E O v e l X t r i a l 5) ~-~(1 / F s)) ~ ; ~ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { normalzforcetrial5 = zforcetrial5 } \\ & \text { ((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ; } \end{aligned}$ |

```
IDEOacctrial5 = [finalIDEOaccXtrial5
finalIDEOaccYtrial5 finalIDEOac-
cZtrial5] ; %[m/s^2]
GRFtrial5 = [normalxforcetrial5 nor-
malyforcetrial5 normalzforcetrial5] ; %
[N]
%use IDEOacc with GRF to calculate knee
reaction force
KRF3Dtrial5 = (msegment.*IDEOacctrial5)
- GRFtrial5 - (msegment.*gravity) ;
%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial5 = KRF3Dtrial5(:,1) ;
krYtrial5 = KRF3Dtrial5(:,2) ;
krZtrial5 = KRF3Dtrial5(:,3) ;
kneetimetrial5 = acceltimetrial5 ;
%% PLOTS FOR ALL TRIALS COMBINED
%Reaction Force over percent of Stance
Phase
percentztrial1 = kneetimetriall(length
(kneetimetrial1)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial1))
        stpercenttrial1(i) = kneetimetrial1
(i) * (100 / percentztrial1) ;
end
percentztrial2 = kneetimetrial2(length
(kneetimetrial2)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial2))
        stpercenttrial2(i) = kneetimetrial2
(i) * (100 / percentztrial2) ;
end
percentztrial3 = kneetimetrial3(length
(kneetimetrial3)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial3))
    stpercenttrial3(i) = kneetimetrial3
(i) * (100 / percentztrial3) ;
```


## end

\%create percent time domain for every trial
(kneetimetrial4)) ;
for i = 1 :(length(kneetimetrial4))
stpercenttrial4(i) = kneetimetrial4
(i) * (100 / percentztrial4) ;
end
percentztrial5 = kneetimetrial5(length
(kneetimetrial5)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial5))
stpercenttrial5(i) = kneetimetrial5
(i) * (100 / percentztrial5) ;
end
%Knee Reaction Force Z
figure
plot(stpercenttrial1, krZtrial1)
xlim([0 100])
ylim([-1200 0])
title('IDEO Knee Reaction Force - Z di-
rection')

```
```

```
percentztrial4 = kneetimetrial4(length
```

```
```

percentztrial4 = kneetimetrial4(length

```
```

xlabel('% of Stance Phase')
ylabel('Force [N]')
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, krZtrial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, krZtrial3)
plot(stpercenttrial4, krZtrial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, krZtrial5)

```
\%Knee Reaction Force X
figure
plot(stpercenttrial1, krXtrial1)
\(x \lim \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 100\end{array}\right]\right)\)
\(y \lim ([-200150])\)
title('IDEO Knee Reaction Force - X di-
rection')
xlabel('\% of Stance Phase')
ylabel('Force [N]')
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, krXtrial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, krXtrial3)
plot(stpercenttrial4, krXtrial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, krXtrial5)
\%Knee Reaction Force Y
figure
plot(stpercenttrial1, krYtrial1)
\(x \lim \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 100\end{array}\right)\right.\)
\(y \lim ([-50100])\)
title('IDEO Knee Reaction Force - Y direction')
xlabel('\% of Stance Phase')
ylabel('Force [N]')
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, krYtrial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, krYtrial3)
plot(stpercenttrial4, krYtrial4) plot(stpercenttrial5, krYtrial5)
\%Ground Reaction Force
\% GRF x
```

figure
plot(stpercenttriall, normalxforce-
trial1)
xlim([00 100])
ylim([-150 200])
title("Ground Reaction Force - IDEO - X
Direction")
xlabel("% of Stance Phase")
ylabel("Force [N]")
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, normalxforce-
trial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, normalxforce-
trial3)
plot(stpercenttrial4, normalxforce-
trial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, normalxforce-
trial5)
% GRF Y

```

\section*{figure}
```

plot(stpercenttriall, normalyforcetrial1)
$x \lim \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 100\end{array}\right]\right)$

```
ylim([-100 50])
title("Ground Reaction Force - IDEO - Y
Direction")
xlabel("% of Stance Phase")
ylabel("Force [N]")
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, normalyforce-
trial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, normalyforce-
trial3)
plot(stpercenttrial4, normalyforce-
trial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, normalyforce-
trial5)
% GRF z
figure
plot(stpercenttrial1, normalzforce-
trial1)
xlim([0 100])
ylim([0 1000])
title("Ground Reaction Force - IDEO - Z
Direction")
xlabel("% of Stance Phase")
```

```
ylabel("Force [N]")
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, normalzforce-
trial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, normalzforce-
trial3)
plot(stpercenttrial4, normalzforce-
trial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, normalzforce-
trial5)
%% Energy Stored
% input data file for force over exten-
sion
```


## energyfname =

```
"Specimen_RawData_2_new.csv" ; %file
format is time (s), extension (mm), load
(N)
fdfile = csvread(energyfname) ;
% extract columns
eforce = fdfile(:,3) ;
% [N
```

```
extension = fdfile(:,2) ; % [mm]
```

extension = fdfile(:,2) ; % [mm]
fixedsample = find(eforce == max
fixedsample = find(eforce == max
(eforce)) ;
(eforce)) ;
fixedsample = find(eforce == max
fixedsample = find(eforce == max
(eforce)) - 0.02*fixedsample ;
(eforce)) - 0.02*fixedsample ;
fixedeforce = eforce(1:fixedsample) ; %
fixedeforce = eforce(1:fixedsample) ; %
[N]
[N]
fixedextension = extension
fixedextension = extension
(1:fixedsample) ./ 1000; %[mm] to [m]
(1:fixedsample) ./ 1000; %[mm] to [m]
maxextension = max(fixedextension) ;
maxextension = max(fixedextension) ;
maxeforce = max(fixedeforce) ;
maxeforce = max(fixedeforce) ;
pextension = extension(1:203) ;
pextension = extension(1:203) ;
peforce = eforce(1:203) ;
peforce = eforce(1:203) ;
figure
figure
plot(pextension, peforce)
plot(pextension, peforce)
title("Force vs Deflection for Carbon
title("Force vs Deflection for Carbon
Fiber")
Fiber")
xlabel("Displacement [mm]")
xlabel("Displacement [mm]")
ylabel("Applied Force [N]")
ylabel("Applied Force [N]")
% calculate stress vs strain \& plot

```
% calculate stress vs strain & plot
```

\% the area is calculated from actual dimensions of IDEO struts

| rodlength $=0.120 ;$ | $\%[\mathrm{~m}]$ support span |
| :--- | :--- |
| densrod $=1550 ;$ | $\%\left[\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3\right]$ |
| drod $=0.005 ;$ | $\%[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| rrod $=0.5 *$ drod ; | $\%[\mathrm{~m}]$ |

vrod $=$ pi*rodlength*(rrod^2) ; \%[m^3]
$\operatorname{mrod}=\operatorname{vrod} *$ densrod ; $\%[\mathrm{~kg}]$
$\operatorname{Irod}=(p i / 4) *(r r o d \wedge 4) ;$
modulus $=$ (( maxeforce *
(rodlength^3)) /
(48*maxextension*Irod)) ; \%[Pa]
strain $=0: 0.0005: 0.02$;
stress $=$ modulus .* strain ;
\% IDEO dimensions
strut $R=0.0055$; $\%[m]$
strutAx $=\left(s t r u t R^{\wedge} 2\right) ~ * ~ p i ~ ; ~ \%[m \wedge 2] ~$
strutL $=0.143$; $\%[m]$
\% Moment calculations for IDEO

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { yforcemomenttrial1 = rawforcedatatrial1 } \\ & (:, 5) ; \end{aligned}$ | title("Ground Reaction Moments about Y axis") |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```yforcemomenttrial2 = rawforcedatatrial2 (:,5) ;``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { xlabel("Time [s]") } \\ & \text { ylabel("Moment [N*m]") } \end{aligned}$ |
| ```yforcemomenttrial3 = rawforcedatatrial3 (:,5) ; yforcemomenttrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4 (:,5) ;``` | hold on |
| ```yforcemomenttrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5 (:,5) ;``` | plot(acceltimetrial2, normalyforcemomenttrial2) <br> plot (acceltimetrial3, normalyforcemomenttrial3) |
| ```normalyforcemomenttrial1 = yforcemomenttrial1 ((HSsampletrial1+2):TOsampletrial1) ;``` | plot(acceltimetrial4, normalyforcemomenttrial4) |
| ```normalyforcemomenttrial2 = yforcemomenttrial2 ((HSsampletrial2+2):TOsampletrial2) ;``` | plot (acceltimetrial5, normalyforcemomenttrial5) |
| ```normalyforcemomenttrial3 = yforcemomenttrial3 ((HSsampletrial3+2):TOsampletrial3) ;``` | ```Mgrftrial1 = normalyforcemomenttrial1 ; Mgrftrial2 = normalyforcemomenttrial2 ;``` |
| ```normalyforcemomenttrial4 = yforcemomenttrial4 ((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mgrftrial3 }=\text { normalyforcemomenttrial3; } \\ & \text { Mgrftrial4 }=\text { normalyforcemomenttrial4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| ```normalyforcemomenttrial5 = yforcemomenttrial5 ((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ;``` | Mgrftrial5 = normalyforcemomenttrial5 ; <br> Mgrftriallsample = find <br> (normalyforcemomenttriall == max <br> (Mgrftriall)) ; |
| figure plot(acceltimetriall, nor- | Mgrftrial2sample = find <br> (normalyforcemomenttrial2 == max |

## (Mgrftrial2) ) ;

Mgrftrial3sample $=$ find
(normalyforcemomenttrial3 == max
(Mgrftrial3)) ;
Mgrftrial4sample $=$ find
(normalyforcemomenttrial4 == max
(Mgrftrial4)) ;
Mgrftrial5sample $=$ find
(normalyforcemomenttrial5 == max
(Mgrftrial5) ;
\% Strut orientation
strutzrottrial1 = hub2sensor1trial1

$$
(:, 6) ;
$$

strutzrottrial2 = hub2sensor1trial2

$$
(:, 6) ;
$$

strutzrottrial3 = hub2sensor1trial3

$$
(:, 6) ;
$$

strutzrottrial4 $=$ hub2sensor1trial4 (:, 6) ;
strutzrottrial5 = hub2sensor1trial5 $(:, 6)$;
normalstrutzrottrial1 = strutzrottrial1 ((HSsampletrial1+2):TOsampletrial1) ;
normalstrutzrottrial2 $=$ strutzrottrial2 ((HSsampletrial2+2):TOsampletrial2) ;
normalstrutzrottrial3 = strutzrottrial3

```
((HSsampletrial3+2):TOsampletrial3) ;
```

normalstrutzrottrial4 = strutzrottrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;
normalstrutzrottrial5 = strutzrottrial5
((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ;

## figure

plot(acceltimetriall, normalstrutzrottrial1)
title("Strut Orientation about $z$ axis") xlabel("Time [s]")
ylabel ("Degrees")
hold on
plot(acceltimetrial2, normalstrutzrottrial2)
plot(acceltimetrial3, normalstrutzrottrial3)
plot(acceltimetrial4, normalstrutzrottrial4)
plot(acceltimetrial5, normalstrutzrottrial5)
\% Force Transformation Matrix
strutthetaxtrial1 = normalstrutzrot-

```
trial1 +180 ;
lambdaxtrial1 = cosd
(strutthetaxtrial1) ;
lambdaytrial1 = sind
(strutthetaxtrial1) ;
```

lxtriall $=$ zeros (length
(strutthetaxtrial1)) ;
for $i=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 1)$
lxtrial1(:,i) = lambdaxtrial1 ;
end
lytrial1 $=$ zeros(length
(strutthetaxtrial1)) ;
for i $=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 1)$
lytrial1(:,i) = lambdaytrial1 ;
end
zerotriall $=$ zeros(length
(strutthetaxtriall)) ;
onetriall = ones(length
(strutthetaxtrial1)) ;

Transformtrial1 = [lxtrial1 -lytriall zerotriall zerotriall zerotriall zerotriall ;
lytrial1 lxtriall zerotriall zerotriall zerotriall zerotrial1 ;
zerotriall zerotriall one-
triall zerotriall zerotriall zerotrial1;
zerotrial1 zerotriall ze-
rotrial1 lxtrial1 -lytrial1 zerotrial1 ;
zerotrial1 zerotrial1 zerotrial1 lytriall lxtriall zerotriall ;
zerotriall zerotriall zerotriall zerotriall zerotriall onetriall ] ;
strutthetaxtrial2 $=$ normalstrutzrottrial2 +180 ;
lambdaxtrial2 = cosd
(strutthetaxtrial2) ;
lambdaytrial2 = sind
(strutthetaxtrial2) ;
lxtrial2 $=$ zeros(length
(strutthetaxtrial2)) ;
for i $=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 2)$
lxtrial2(:,i) = lambdaxtrial2 ; end
lytrial2 = zeros(length (strutthetaxtrial2)) ;
for i $=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 2)$
lytrial2(:,i) = lambdaytrial2 ;
end
zerotrial2 $=$ zeros(length
(strutthetaxtrial2)) ;
onetrial2 $=$ ones(length
(strutthetaxtrial2)) ;

```
Transformtrial2 = [lxtrial2 -lytrial2
zerotrial2 zerotrial2 zerotrial2 ze-
rotrial2 ;
    lytrial2 lxtrial2 ze-
rotrial2 zerotrial2 zerotrial2 ze-
rotrial2 ;
    zerotrial2 zerotrial2 one-
trial2 zerotrial2 zerotrial2 ze-
rotrial2;
    zerotrial2 zerotrial2 ze-
rotrial2 lxtrial2 -lytrial2 ze-
rotrial2 ;
    zerotrial2 zerotrial2 ze-
rotrial2 lytrial2 lxtrial2 zerotrial2 ;
    zerotrial2 zerotrial2 ze-
rotrial2 zerotrial2 zerotrial2 one-
trial2 ] ;
```

strutthetaxtrial3 = normalstrutzrottrial3 +180 ;
lambdaxtrial3 = cosd (strutthetaxtrial3) ;
lambdaytrial3 = sind
(strutthetaxtrial3) ;
lxtrial3 $=$ zeros(length (strutthetaxtrial3)) ;
for $i=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 3)$
lxtrial3(:,i) = lambdaxtrial3 ;
end

```
lytrial3 = zeros(length
```

(strutthetaxtrial3)) ;
for $i=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 3)$
lytrial3(:,i) = lambdaytrial3 ;
end
zerotrial3 $=$ zeros(length
(strutthetaxtrial3)) ;
onetrial3 $=$ ones(length
(strutthetaxtrial3)) ;
Transformtrial3 $=$ [lxtrial3 -lytrial3
zerotrial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3 ze-
rotrial3 ;64
lytrial3 lxtrial3 ze-
rotrial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3
zerotrial3 zerotrial3 one-
trial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3 ze-
rotrial3;
zerotrial3 zerotrial3 ze-
rotrial3 lxtrial3 -lytrial3 zerotrial3 ;
zerotrial3 zerotrial3 ze-
rotrial3 lytrial3 lxtrial3 zerotrial3 ;
zerotrial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3 zerotrial3 onetrial3 ] ;
strutthetaxtrial4 = normalstrutzrottrial4 +180 ;
lambdaxtrial4 = cosd
(strutthetaxtrial4) ;
lambdaytrial4 = sind
(strutthetaxtrial4) ;
lxtrial4 $=$ zeros (length
(strutthetaxtrial4)) ;
for $i=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t h e t a x t r i a l 4)$
lxtrial4(:,i) = lambdaxtrial4 ;
end
lytrial4 = zeros(length (strutthetaxtrial4)) ;
for $i=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t t h e t a x t r i a l 4)$
lytrial4(:,i) = lambdaytrial4 ;
end
zerotrial4 = zeros(length
(strutthetaxtrial4)) ;
onetrial4 $=$ ones(length (strutthetaxtrial4)) ;

Transformtrial4 = [lxtrial4 -lytrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 ;
lytrial4 lxtrial4 ze-
rotrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 ;
zerotrial4 zerotrial4 onetrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4;
zerotrial4 zerotrial4 ze-
rotrial4 lxtrial4 -lytrial4 ze-
rotrial4 ;
zerotrial4 zerotrial4 ze-
rotrial4 lytrial4 lxtrial4 zerotrial4 ;
zerotrial4 zerotrial4 ze-
rotrial4 zerotrial4 zerotrial4 one-
trial4 ] ;
strutthetaxtrial5 = normalstrutzrottrial5 +180 ;
lambdaxtrial5 = cosd (strutthetaxtrial5) ;
lambdaytrial5 = sind
(strutthetaxtrial5) ;
lxtrial5 $=$ zeros(length (strutthetaxtrial5)) ;
for i $=1:$ length(strutthetaxtrial5) lxtrial5(:,i) = lambdaxtrial5 ; end
lytrial5 = zeros(length (strutthetaxtrial5)) ;
for $i=1: l e n g t h(s t r u t h e t a x t r i a l 5)$
lytrial5(:,i) = lambdaytrial5 ;
end
zerotrial5 = zeros(length
(strutthetaxtrial5)) ;
onetrial5 = ones(length
(strutthetaxtrial5)) ;

Transformtrial5 = [lxtrial5 -lytrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 ze-
rotrial5 ;
lytrial5 lxtrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 ;
zerotrial5 zerotrial5 onetrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5;
zerotrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 lxtrial5 -lytrial5 zerotrial5 ;
zerotrial5 zerotrial5 ze-
rotrial5 lytrial5 lxtrial5 zerotrial5 ;
zerotrial5 zerotrial5 ze-
rotrial5 zerotrial5 zerotrial5 onetrial5 ] ;

Fxktriall $=$ zeros(length(krXtriall)) ; for i $=1: l e n g t h(k r X t r i a l 1)$ Fxktrial1(:,i)= krXtrial1 ; end

Fxktrial2 = zeros(length(krXtrial2)) ; for i $=1:$ length(krXtrial2) Fxktrial2(:,i)= krXtrial2 ;
end

Fxktrial3 = zeros(length(krXtrial3)) ; for i $=1:$ length(krXtrial3)

Fxktrial3(:,i)= krXtrial3 ;

## end

Fxktrial4 = zeros(length(krXtrial4)) ; for $i=1: l e n g t h(k r X t r i a l 4)$

$$
\text { Fxktrial4(:,i) }=\text { krXtrial4 ; }
$$

end

Fxktrial5 = zeros(length(krXtrial5)) ; for $i=1: l e n g t h(k r X t r i a l 5)$

Fxktrial5 (:, i) = krXtrial5 ; end

```
oldforcetriall = [zerotrial1 ; ze-
rotrial1 ; zerotrial1 ; Fxktrial1 ; ze-
rotrial1 ; zerotrial1] ;
oldforcetrial2 = [zerotrial2 ; ze-
rotrial2 ; zerotrial2 ; Fxktrial2 ; ze-
rotrial2 ; zerotrial2] ;
oldforcetrial3 = [zerotrial3 ; ze-
rotrial3 ; zerotrial3 ; Fxktrial3 ; ze-
rotrial3 ; zerotrial3] ;
oldforcetrial4 = [zerotrial4 ; ze-
rotrial4 ; zerotrial4 ; Fxktrial4 ; ze-
rotrial4 ; zerotrial4] ;
oldforcetrial5 = [zerotrial5 ; ze-
rotrial5 ; zerotrial5 ; Fxktrial5 ; ze-
rotrial5 ; zerotrial5] ;
```

toldforcetrial1 = transpose (oldforcetriall) ;
toldforcetrial2 = transpose (oldforcetrial2) ;
toldforcetrial3 = transpose (oldforcetrial3) ;
toldforcetrial4 = transpose (oldforcetrial4) ;
toldforcetrial5 = transpose (oldforcetrial5) ;
newforcetrial1 = toldforcetrial1 * Transformtriall ;
newforcetrial2 = toldforcetrial2 * Transformtrial2 ;
newforcetrial3 = toldforcetrial3 * Transformtrial3 ;
newforcetrial4 = toldforcetrial4 * Transformtrial4 ;
newforcetrial5 = toldforcetrial5 * Transformtrial5 ;
valnewforcetriall = find (newforcetriall) ;
valnewforcetrial2 = find (newforcetrial2) ;
valnewforcetrial3 = find (newforcetrial3) ;
valnewforcetrial4 = find
(newforcetrial4) ;
valnewforcetrial5 = find
(newforcetrial5) ;

Fxktransformedtrial1 = newforcetrial1 (valnewforcetrial1(1)) ;

Fxktransformedtrial2 = newforcetrial2 (valnewforcetrial2(1)) ;

Fxktransformedtrial3 = newforcetrial3 (valnewforcetrial3(1)) ;

Fxktransformedtrial4 = newforcetrial4 (valnewforcetrial4(1)) ;

Fxktransformedtrial5 = newforcetrial5 (valnewforcetrial5(1)) ;

Fxktransformedtrial1 = krXtrial1.*cos (strutthetaxtriall) + krXtriall.*sin (strutthetaxtriall) ;

Fxktransformedtrial2 = krXtrial2.* $\cos$ (strutthetaxtrial2) + krXtrial2.*sin (strutthetaxtrial2) ;

Fxktransformedtrial3 = krXtrial3.*cos (strutthetaxtrial3) + krXtrial3.*sin
(strutthetaxtrial3) ;
Fxktransformedtrial4 = krXtrial4.*cos (strutthetaxtrial4) + krXtrial4.*sin (strutthetaxtrial4) ;

Fxktransformedtrial5 = krXtrial5.*cos (strutthetaxtrial5) + krXtrial5.*sin (strutthetaxtrial5) ;

```
% Moment FBD - Mkrf
```

Mkrftrial1 = Mgrftrial1 + (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial1) ;

Mkrftrial2 = Mgrftrial2 + (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial2) ;

Mkrftrial3 = Mgrftrial3 + (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial3) ;

Mkrftrial4 = Mgrftrial4 + (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial4) ;

Mkrftrial5 = Mgrftrial5 + (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial5) ;
\% Total Moment Calculation
$I=0.5$ * mrod * (rrod^2) ;
ytotal $=$ strut R ; strut radius

Mtotaltrial1 = Mgrftrial1 + Mkrftriall + (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial1) ; \%
[ $\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{~m}$ ]
Mtotaltrial2 = Mgrftrial2 + Mkrftrial2

+ (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial2) ; \% [ $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~m}$ ]

Mtotaltrial3 = Mgrftrial3 + Mkrftrial3

+ (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial3) ; \% [ $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~m}$ ]

Mtotaltrial4 = Mgrftrial4 + Mkrftrial4

+ (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial4) ; \% [ $\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{~m}$ ]

Mtotaltrial5 = Mgrftrial5 + Mkrftrial5

+ (strutL .* Fxktransformedtrial5) ; \% [ $\mathrm{N}^{*} \mathrm{~m}$ ]


## figure

plot(stpercenttriall, Mtotaltrial1)
title("Bending Moment on Struts During Stance Phase")
xlabel("Percent of Stance Phase") ylabel("Moment [N*m]")
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial2, Mtotaltrial2)
plot(stpercenttrial3, Mtotaltrial3) plot(stpercenttrial4, Mtotaltrial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, Mtotaltrial5)
bendstresstriall = abs(Mtotaltrial1 * ytotal / I) ; \%[Pa]
bendstresstrial2 = abs(Mtotaltrial2 * ytotal / I) ; \%[Pa]
bendstresstrial3 = abs(Mtotaltrial3 * ytotal / I) ; \%[Pa]

```
bendstresstrial4 = abs(Mtotaltrial4 * xlabel("Percent of Stance Phase")
ytotal / I) ; %[Pa]
bendstresstrial5 = abs(Mtotaltrial5 *
ytotal / I) ; %[Pa]
MPabendstresstrial1 = bend-
stresstrial1 ./ 1000000 ; %[MPa]
MPabendstresstrial2 = bend-
stresstrial2 ./ 1000000 ; %[MPa]
MPabendstresstrial3 = bend-
stresstrial3 ./ 1000000 ; %[MPa]
MPabendstresstrial4 = bend-
stresstrial4 ./ 1000000 ; %[MPa]
MPabendstresstrial5 = bend-
stresstrial5 ./ 1000000 ; %[MPa]
figure
plot(strain, stress)
title("Stress vs Strain relationship of
Carbon Fiber")
xlabel("Strain [%]")
ylabel("Stress [Pa]")
figure
plot(stpercenttrial1, MPabend-
stresstrial1)
title("Bending Stress During Stance
Phase")
```


## figure

```
plot(strain, stress)
title("Stress vs Strain relationship of carbon Fiber")
```


## Appendix B: Full MatLab Code - Healthy Leg Calculations

```
%% Import files for coincident GRF (txt) footwidth = (0.0931) ; % input [m] 3.666
and motion (cSv) of trial 2** in
fnameforcetrial2 = "trial2txt.txt" ;
rawforcedatatrial2 = importdata
(fnameforcetrial2) ;
fnamemotiontrial2 = "trial2csv.csv" ;
rawmotiondatatrial2 = csvread
(fnamemotiontrial2) ;
% Stance times
% trial 1*: 0, 0
% trial 2: 0.258 , 1.258
% trial 3*: 0.300 , 1.375
% trial 4: 0.358 , 1.392
% trial 5: 0.842 , 1.925
% trial 6: 0.500 , 1.458
% INPUTS for this type of trial
HStimetrial2 = (0.258) ; % input [s]
TOtimetrial2 = (1.258) ; % input [s]
legwidth = (0.1333) ; % input [m] 5.250
in
```

end
hublsensor2trial2 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial2) /2)), 3) ;
$j=1$;
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,3) == 2)
hub1sensor2trial2(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:7);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
end
hublsensor3trial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial2) /2)), 3) ;
$j=1 ;$
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 1 )
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 3) == 3)
hub1sensor3trial2(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:7);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
e
hub2sensor1trial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial2)/2)), 3) ;
j $=1$;
for $i=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial2(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:7);
$j=j+1 ;$
end
end
hub2sensor2trial2 $=$ zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial2) /2)), 3) ;
$j=1$;
for i $=1$ : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial2))
if (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial2(i,3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial2(j, :) =
rawmotiondatatrial2(i, 5:7);

$$
j=j+1 ;
$$

end
\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\% $1,1=C O M$ of foot
\% $1,2=\mathrm{COM}$ of leg
\% $1,3=$ femoral condyles at knee
\% $2,1=$ heel
\% 2,2 = toes - metatarsals
\% here we can fix the sign of each set of data if we want and correct the
\% y value of the displacement data for the leg and foot
fix $=-1$;
intom $=0.0254$; \%[m/in]
footdispXtrial2 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial2(:,1) ;
footdispYtrial2 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial2(:,2) +
(0.5*footwidth) ; \%add for left leg lateral sensors
footdispZtrial2 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial2(:,3) ;

| ```legdispXtrial2 = intom*hub1sensor2trial2 (:,1) ;``` | normalfootdispXtrial2 = footdispXtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ; | ```freqz(filter1,66,120) title(sprintf('n = %d Butterworth Low-``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| legdispYtrial2 = intom*hub1sensor2trial2 <br> (:,2) + (0.5*legwidth) ; \%add for left | normalfootdispYtrial2 = footdispYtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ; | pass Filter',n)) |
| ```leg lateral sensors legdispZtrial2 = intom*hub1sensor2trial2 (:,3) ;``` | normalfootdispZtrial2 = footdispZtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ; | \%filter foot and leg displacement data \& plot |
| \% plot raw displacement data over time in three dimensions | ```normallegdispXtrial2 = legdispXtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ; normallegdispYtrial2 = legdispYtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ; normallegdispZtrial2 = legdispZtrial2 (HSsampletrial2:TOsampletrial2) ;``` | filteredfootdispXtrial2 = filtfilt (filterl, $n, ~ n o r m a l f o o t d i s p X t r i a l 2) ~ ; ~$ <br> filteredfootdispYtrial2 = filtfilt (filterl, n, normalfootdispYtrial2) ; <br> filteredfootdispZtrial2 = filtfilt <br> (filter1, n, normalfootdispZtrial2) ; |
| \%Normalized Data inputs for full step with force plate | \%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT | filteredlegdispXtrial2 = filtfilt <br> (filter1, n, normallegdispXtrial2) ; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HSsampletrial2 = floor(HStimetrial2*Fs) } \\ & -2 \text {; } \end{aligned}$ | \%design fourth order butterworth filter | filteredlegdispYtrial2 = filtfilt <br> (filter1, n, normallegdispYtrial2) ; |
| TOsampletrial2 = floor <br> (TOtimetrial2*Fs) ; | $W \mathrm{~W}=5 / 60$; | filteredlegdispZtrial2 = filtfilt <br> (filter1, n, normallegdispZtrial2) ; |
| normalsampleswrongtrial2 $=(0$ : <br> (TOsampletrial2 - HSsampletrial2)) ; | Ws = 20/60; | \%use normalsamples time domain and plot |
| normalsamplestrial2 = transpose (normalsampleswrongtrial2) ; | $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Wn}]=$ buttord(Wp,Ws, 3, 60) ; | filtered displacement data |
| \%make the displacement data normalized | $[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}]=$ butter $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{Wn})$; |  |
|  | filter1 $=$ zp2sos (z,p,k); | \%differentiate these displacement values |

to get velocity
filteredfootvelXtrial2 = diff
(filteredfootdispXtrial2) ;
filteredfootvelYtrial2 = diff
(filteredfootdispYtrial2) ;
filteredfootvelZtrial2 = diff
(filteredfootdispZtrial2) ;
filteredlegvelXtrial2 = diff
(filteredlegdispXtrial2) ;
filteredlegvelYtrial2 $=$ diff
(filteredlegdispYtrial2) ;
filteredlegvelZtrial2 = diff
(filteredlegdispZtrial2) ;
(make time domain and plot
(filteredfootvelXtrial2) - (1/Fs)) ;
(feltimetrial2 = 0:(1/Fs):((1/Fs)*length
\%differentiate these velocity values to get accleration

```
finalfootaccXtrial2 = diff
(filteredfootvelXtrial2) ;
finalfootaccYtrial2 = diff
(filteredfootvelYtrial2) ;
finalfootaccZtrial2 = diff
(filteredfootvelZtrial2) ;
finallegaccXtrial2 = diff
(filteredlegvelXtrial2) ;
finallegaccYtrial2 = diff
(filteredlegvelYtrial2) ;
finallegaccZtrial2 = diff
(filteredlegvelZtrial2) ;
%create time domain & plot
acceltimetrial2 = (1/Fs) * (0:(length
(finalfootaccXtrial2)-1)) ;
```

ARF3Dtrial2 = (mfoot.*footacctrial2) GRFtrial2 - (mfoot.*gravity) ;
\%use legacc with ARF3D to calculate knee reaction force
mleg $=0.0465 * m b o d y ~ ; ~$
\% trial $1^{*}: 0,0$

```
% trial 2: 0.258 , 1.258
% trial 3*: 0.300, 1.375 % plot raw force data over time in three
% trial 4: 0.358 , 1.392
% trial 5: 0.842, 1.925
% trial 6: 0.500, 1.458
% INPUTS for this type of trial
HStimetrial4 = (0.358) ; % input [s]
TOtimetrial4 = (1.392) ; % input [s]
% extracting column data for force
xforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:,1) ;
yforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:,2) ; % organize data by sensor/hub with if
% positive should be right side (medial statements
for left foot)
zforcetrial4 = rawforcedatatrial4(:,3) ;
zulsensorltrial4 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 3) ;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
    if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 1)
&& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 1)
\% Import files for coincident GRF (txt)
and motion (cSv) of trial \(4 * *\)
fnameforcetrial4 = "trial4txt.txt" ;
rawforcedatatrial4 = importdata
(fnameforcetrial4) ;
fnamemotiontrial4 = "trial4Csv.csv" ;
rawmotiondatatrial4 = csvread
(fnamemotiontrial4) ;
\% Stance times

KRF3Dtrial2 = (mleg.*legacctrial2) + ARF3Dtrial2 - (mleg.*gravity) ;
\%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial2 = KRF3Dtrial2(:,1) ;
krYtrial2 = KRF3Dtrial2(:,2) ;
kneetimetrial2 = acceltimetrial2 ;
hublsensorltrial4(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial4(i, 5:7);

\section*{\(j=j+1 ;\)}
end
end
hub1sensor2trial4 \(=\) zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 3) ;
\(j=1 ;\)
for \(i=1:\) (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 1) \&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i, 3) == 2)
hublsensor2trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:7);
j \(=j+1 ;\)
end
end
hublsensor3trial4 \(=\) zeros ((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 3) ;
```

j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 3)

```
hub1sensor3trial4(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial4(i, 5:7);

\section*{\(j=j+1 ;\)}
end
end
hub2sensorltrial4 \(=\) zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial4)/2) , 3) ;
\(j=1 ;\)
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))

> if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 2) \&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial4(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial4(i, 5:7);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hub2sensor2trial4 = zeros((floor (length (motionsamplestrial4)/2)), 3) ;

\section*{\(j=1 ;\)}
for i \(=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial4))
if (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial4(i,3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial4(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial4 (i, 5:7);
```

j = j + 1;

```
end
end
\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\% \(1,1=\mathrm{COM}\) of foot
\% \(1,2=\mathrm{COM}\) of leg
\% \(1,3=\) femoral condyles at knee
\% \(2,1=\) heel
\% \(2,2=\) toes - metatarsals

\section*{\% here we can fix the sign of each set} of data if we want and correct the
\% y value of the displacement data for the leg and foot
footdispXtrial4 =
intom*hublsensor1trial4(:,1) ;
footdispYtrial4 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial4(:,2) + (0.5*footwidth) ; \%add for left leg lateral sensors
footdispZtrial4 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial4(:,3) ;
legdispXtrial4 = intom*hub1sensor2triz44

\section*{(: , 1) ;}
legdispYtrial4 = intom*hub1sensor2trial4 (:,2) + (0.5*legwidth) ; \%add for left leg lateral sensors
legdispZtrial4 = intom*hub1sensor2trial4 (:,3) ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time in three dimensions
\%Normalized Data inputs for full step
with force plate
HSsampletrial4 \(=\) floor(HStimetrial4*Fs)
-2 ;
TOsampletrial4 \(=\) floor
(TOtimetrial4*Fs) ;
normalsampleswrongtrial4 = (0:
(TOsampletrial4 - HSsampletrial4)) ;
normalsamplestrial4 =transpose
(normalsampleswrongtrial4) ;
\%make the displacement data normalized
normalfootdispXtrial4 = footdispXtrial4 (HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normalfootdispYtrial4 = footdispYtrial4
(HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normalfootdispZtrial4 \(=\) footdispZtrial4
(HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normallegdispXtrial4 = legdispXtrial4 (HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normallegdispYtrial4 = legdispYtrial4 (HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
normallegdispZtrial4 = legdispZtrial4 (HSsampletrial4:TOsampletrial4) ;
\%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT
\%design fourth order butterworth filter to get velocity

> filteredfootvelXtrial4 = diff (filteredfootdispXtrial4) ;
> filteredfootvelYtrial4 = diff \((f i l t e r e d f o o t d i s p Y t r i a l 4) ~ ; ~\)
> filteredfootvelZtrial4 = diff
> \((f i l t e r e d f o o t d i s p Z t r i a l 4) ~ ; ~\)
filteredlegvelXtrial4 = diff (filteredlegdispXtrial4) ;
filteredlegvelYtrial4 = diff (filteredlegdispYtrial4) ;
filteredlegvelZtrial4 = diff
(filteredlegdispZtrial4) ;
\% make time domain and plot
veltimetrial4 = 0:(1/Fs): ((1/Fs)*length
(filteredfootvelXtrial4) - (1/Fs)) ;
\%differentiate these velocity values to get accleration
finalfootaccXtrial4 = diff (filteredfootvelXtrial4) ;
finalfootaccYtrial4 = diff (filteredfootvelYtrial4) ;
finalfootaccZtrial4 = diff (filteredfootvelZtrial4) ;
finallegaccXtrial4 = diff (filteredlegvelXtrial4) ;
finallegaccYtrial4 = diff (filteredlegvelYtrial4) ;
finallegaccZtrial4 = diff (filteredlegvelZtrial4) ;
acceltimetrial4 \(=(1 / \mathrm{Fs}) *(0:(l e n g t h\) (finalfootaccXtrial4)-1) ;
\% combine variables into 3D matrices
normalxforcetrial4 = xforcetrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;
normalyforcetrial4 = yforcetrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2):TOsampletrial4) ;
normalzforcetrial4 = zforcetrial4
((HSsampletrial4+2) :TOsampletrial4) ;
footacctrial4 = [finalfootaccXtrial4 finalfootaccYtrial4 finalfootaccZtrial4] ; \% [m/s^2]
legacctrial4 = [finallegaccXtrial4 finallegaccYtrial4 finallegaccZtrial4] ; \% [m/s \(\mathrm{s}^{\wedge}\) ]

GRFtrial4 \(=\) [normalxforcetrial4 normalyforcetrial4 normalzforcetrial4] ; \% [N]
\%use footacc with GRF to calculate ankle reaction force
(finalfootaccXtrial4)-1) ;
\%use legacc with ARF3D to calculate knee
reaction force
KRF3Dtrial4 = (mleg.*legacctrial4) +
ARF3Dtrial4 - (mleg.*gravity) ;
\%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial4 \(=\operatorname{KRF} 3 D t r i a l 4(:, 1)\);
krYtrial4 = KRF3Dtrial4(:,2) ;
krZtrial4 \(=\operatorname{KRF} 3 D t r i a l 4(:, 3)\);
kneetimetrial4 = acceltimetrial4 ;
\% Import files for coincident GRF (txt)
and motion (CSv) of trial 5**
```

GRFtrial4 - (mfoot.*gravity) ;

```
```

GRFtrial4 - (mfoot.*gravity) ;

```
```

fnameforcetrial5 = "trial5txt.txt" ;
rawforcedatatrial5 = importdata
(fnameforcetrial5) ;
fnamemotiontrial5 = "trial5csv.csv"

```
```

rawmotiondatatrial5 = csvread
(fnamemotiontrial5) ;
% Stance times
% trial 1*: 0,0
% trial 2: 0.258 , 1.258
% trial 3*: 0.300, 1.375
% trial 4: 0.358, 1.392
% trial 5: 0.842 , 1.925
% trial 6:0.500, 1.458

```
\% INPUTS for this type of trial
HStimetrial5 = (0.842) ; \% input [s]
TOtimetrial5 \(=(1.925)\); input [s]
\% extracting column data for force
* check y force
xforcetrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5(:,1) ;
yforcetrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5(:,2) ;
\% positive should be right side (medial
for left foot)
zforcetrial5 = rawforcedatatrial5(:,3) ;
\% set sampling rate and inital time do-
main for raw data
```

forcesamplestrial5 = length
(rawforcedatatrial5) ;
forcetimetrial5 = (0:(1/Fs):
((forcesamplestrial5/Fs)-(1/Fs))) ;
% plot raw force data over time in three
dimensions

```
\% extract sample numbers from motion da-
ta to create time domain
\% delete the column with 0x0000's before
analysis*****
motionsamplestrial5 = rawmotiondata-
trial5 (:, 4);
motiontimetrial5 = (0: (1/Fs):(()length
(motionsamplestrial5)/2) /Fs) -(1/Fs))) ;
\% organize data by sensor/hub with if
statements
hub1sensor1trial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5) /2) ), 3) ;

\section*{j = 1;}
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 3) == 1)
hublsensorltrial5(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial5 (i, 5:7);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hublsensor2trial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5)/2)), 3) ;
\(j=1\);
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5 (i, 2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,3) == 2)
hub1sensor2trial5(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial5 (i, 5:7);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hub1sensor3trial5 \(=\) zeros ((floor (length
\((m o t i o n s a m p l e s t r i a l 5) / 2)), ~ 3) ; ~\)
j = 1;
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 1)
```

\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,3) == 3)

```
hub1sensor3trial5(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 5:7);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hub2sensor1trial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5)/2)), 3) ;
\(j=1 ;\)
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial5(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 5:7);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
hub2sensor2trial5 = zeros((floor(length (motionsamplestrial5) /2) , 3) ;
j \(=1\);
for i \(=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial5))
if (rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial5 (i, 3) == 2)
hub2sensor2trial5(j, :) = rawmotiondatatrial5(i, 5:7);
\[
j=j+1 ;
\]
end
end
\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\(\% 1,1=\mathrm{COM}\) of foot
\% \(1,2=\mathrm{COM}\) of leg
\% \(1,3=\) femoral condyles at knee
\% 2,1 = heel
\% 2,2 = toes - metatarsals
\% here we can fix the sign of each set of data if we want and correct the
\% y value of the displacement data for the leg and foot

\section*{footdispXtrial5 =}
intom*hublsensorltrial5(:,1) ;
footdispYtrial5 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial5(:,2) +
(0.5*footwidth) ; \%add for left leg lateral sensors
footdispZtrial5 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial5(:,3) ;
legdispXtrial5 = intom*hub1sensor2trial5 (:, 1) ;
legdispYtrial5 = intom*hub1sensor2trial5 \((:, 2)+(0.5 *\) legwidth \()\); \%add for left leg lateral sensors
legdispZtrial5 = intom*hub1sensor2trial5 (:, 3) ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time in three dimensions
\%Normalized Data inputs for full step with force plate

HSsampletrial5 = floor(HStimetrial5*Fs)
- 2 ;

TOsampletrial5 = floor
(TOtimetrial5*Fs) ;
normalsampleswrongtrial5 \(=10\) :
(TOsampletrial5 - HSsampletrial5)) ;
normalsamplestrial5 = transpose
(normalsampleswrongtrial5) ;

finallegaccYtrial5 = diff
(filteredlegvelYtrial5) ;
finallegaccZtrial5 = diff
\((f i l t e r e d l e g v e l Z t r i a l 5) ~ ; ~\)
```

%create time domain \& plot

```
acceltimetrial5 \(=(1 / \mathrm{Fs}) *(0:(l e n g t h\)
(finalfootaccXtrial5)-1)) ;
\% combine variables into 3D matrices
normalxforcetrial5 = xforcetrial5
((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ;
normalyforcetrial5 = yforcetrial5
((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ;
normalzforcetrial5 = zforcetrial5
((HSsampletrial5+2):TOsampletrial5) ;
footacctrial5 = [finalfootaccXtrial5 fi-
nalfootaccYtrial5 finalfootaccZtrial5] ;
\% [m/s \(\left.\mathrm{s}^{\wedge} 2\right]\)
legacctrial5 = [finallegaccXtrial5 fi-
nallegaccYtrial5 finallegaccZtrial5] ;
[m/s^2]
GRFtrial5 = [normalxforcetrial5 nor-
malyforcetrial5 normalzforcetrial5] ; \%
[N]
rawforcedatatrial6 = importdata (fnameforcetrial6) ;
\%use footacc with GRF to calculate ankle reaction force

ARF3Dtrial5 = (mfoot.*footacctrial5) GRFtrial5 - (mfoot.*gravity) ;
namemotiontrial6 = "trial6csv.csv"
rawmotiondatatrial6 = csvread (fnamemotiontrial6) ;
\% Stance times
\% trial 1*: 0, 0
\%trial 2: 0.258, 1.258
\% trial 3*: 0.300, 1.375
\% trial 4: 0.358, 1.392
\% trial 5: 0.842 , 1.925
\% trial 6: 0.500, 1.458
\% INPUTS for this type of trial

HStimetrial6 \(=(0.500)\); input [s]
TOtimetrial6 = (1.458) ; \% input [s]
\% extracting column data for force
*check y force
xforcetrial6 = rawforcedatatrial6(:,1) ;
yforcetrial6 = rawforcedatatrial6(:,2)
\% positive should be right side (mediz̊]
```

for left foot)
zforcetrial6 = rawforcedatatrial6(:,3) ;
% set sampling rate and inital time do-
main for raw data
forcesamplestrial6 = length
% plot raw force data over time in three
dimensions

* extract sample numbers from motion da-
ta to create time domain
% delete the column with 0x0000's before
analysis*****
motionsamplestrial6 = rawmotiondata-
trial6(:,4);
motiontimetrial6 = (0:(1/Fs):(()llength
(motionsamplestrial6)/2)/Fs)-(1/Fs))) ;

```
\% organize data by sensor/hub with if
hublsensorltrial6 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial6)/2)), 3) ;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial6))
    if (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,2) == 1)
&& (rawmotiondatatrial6(i, 3) == 1)
    hub1sensor1trial6(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial6(i, 5:7);
        j = j + 1;
    end
end
hub1sensor2trial6 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial6)/2)), 3) ;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial6))
    if (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,2) == 1)
&& (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,3) == 2)
    hub1sensor2trial6(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial6(i, 5:7);
    j = j + 1;
```

```
```

statements

```
```

statements

```
    end
```

    end
    end
end
hublsensor3trial6 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial6)/2)), 3) ;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial6))
if (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,2) == 1)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,3) == 3)
hublsensor3trial6(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial6(i, 5:7);
j = j + 1;
end
end
hub2sensor1trial6 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial6)/2)), 3) ;
j = 1;
for i = 1 : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial6))
if (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,3) == 1)
hub2sensor1trial6(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial6(i, 5:7);

```
```

        j = j + 1;
    end
    end

```
hub2sensor2trial6 = zeros((floor(length
(motionsamplestrial6)/2)), 3) ;
j \(=1\);
for \(i=1\) : (length
(rawmotiondatatrial6))
    if (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,2) == 2)
\&\& (rawmotiondatatrial6(i,3) == 2)
    hub2sensor2trial6(j, :) = rawmo-
tiondatatrial6(i, 5:7);
    \(j=j+1 ;\)
    end
end
\% Sensor locations (lateral)
\% \(1,1=\mathrm{COM}\) of foot
\% \(1,2=\mathrm{COM}\) of leg
\% 1,3 = femoral condyles at knee
\% 2,1 = heel
\% 2,2 = toes - metatarsals

\footnotetext{
\% here we can fix the sign of each set
}
of data if we want and correct the
\% y value of the displacement data for the leg and foot

\section*{footdispXtrial6 =}
intom*hub1sensor1trial6(:,1) ;
footdispYtrial6 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial6(:,2) +
(0.5*footwidth) ; \%add for left leg lateral sensors
footdispZtrial6 =
intom*hub1sensor1trial6(:,3) ;
legdispXtrial6 = intom*hub1sensor2trial6 (:,1) ;
legdispYtrial6 = intom*hub1sensor2trial6 \((:, 2)+(0.5 *\) legwidth \()\); oadd for left leg lateral sensors
legdispZtrial6 = intom*hub1sensor2trial6 (:,3) ;
\% plot raw displacement data over time in three dimensions
\%Normalized Data inputs for full step with force plate

HSsampletrial6 = floor(HStimetrial6*Fs) - 2 ;

TOsampletrial6 = floor
(TOtimetrial6*Fs) ;
normalsampleswrongtrial6 = (0:
(TOsampletrial6 - HSsampletrial6)) ;
normalsamplestrial6 = transpose
(normalsampleswrongtrial6) ;
\%make the displacement data normalized
normalfootdispXtrial6 = footdispXtrial6 (HSsampletrial6:TOsampletrial6) ;
normalfootdispYtrial6 = footdispYtrial6 (HSsampletrial6:TOsampletrial6) ;
normalfootdispZtrial6 = footdispZtrial6 (HSsampletrial6:TOsampletrial6) ;
normallegdispXtrial6 = legdispXtrial6 (HSsampletrial6:TOsampletrial6) ;
normallegdispYtrial6 = legdispYtrial6 (HSsampletrial6:TOsampletrial6) ;
normallegdispZtrial6 = legdispZtrial6 (HSsampletrial6:TOsampletrial6) ;
\%FILTER NORMALIZED DISPLACEMENT DATA, THEN DIFFERENTIATE IT

```

footacctrial6 = [finalfootaccXtrial6 fi- kneetimetrial6 = acceltimetrial6 ;
nalfootaccYtrial6 finalfootaccZtrial6] ;
%[m/s^2]
legacctrial6 = [finallegaccXtrial6 fi- %% PLOTS FOR ALL TRIALS COMBINED
nallegaccYtrial6 finallegaccZtrial6] ; %
[m/s^2]
GRFtrial6 = [normalxforcetrial6 nor-
malyforcetrial6 normalzforcetrial6] ; %
[N]
%use footacc with GRF to calculate ankle
reaction force
ARF3Dtrial6 = (mfoot.*footacctrial6) -
GRFtrial6 - (mfoot.*gravity) ;
%use legacc with ARF3D to calculate knee
reaction force
KRF3Dtrial6 = (mleg.*legacctrial6) +
ARF3Dtrial6 - (mleg.*gravity) ;
%take out components of KRF3D
krXtrial6 = KRF3Dtrial6(:,1) ;
krYtrial6 = KRF3Dtrial6(:,2) ;
%Reaction Force over percent of Stance
Phase
%create percent time domain for every
trial
percentztrial2 = kneetimetrial2(length
(kneetimetrial2)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial2))
stpercenttrial2(i) = kneetimetrial2
(i) * (100 / percentztrial2) ;
end
percentztrial4 = kneetimetrial4(length
(kneetimetrial4)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial4))
stpercenttrial4(i) = kneetimetrial4
(i) * (100 / percentztrial4) ;
end
percentztrial5 = kneetimetrial5(length
(kneetimetrial5)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial5))
stpercenttrial5(i) = kneetimetrial5
(i) * (100 / percentztrial5) ;
end
percentztrial6 = kneetimetrial6(length
(kneetimetrial6)) ;
for i = 1 : (length(kneetimetrial6))
stpercenttrial6(i) = kneetimetrial6
(i) * (100 / percentztrial6) ;
end
%Knee Reaction Force Z
figure
plot(stpercenttrial2, krZtrial2)
xlim([0 100])
ylim([-1200 0])
title('Healthy Knee Reaction Force - Z

```
krZtrial6 = KRF3Dtrial6(:,3) ;
ylabel('Force [N]')
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial4, krZtrial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, krZtrial5)
plot(stpercenttrial6, krZtrial6)
\%Knee Reaction Force X

\section*{figure}
plot(stpercenttrial2, krXtrial2)
\(x \lim \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 100\end{array}\right]\right)\)
\(y \lim ([-200150])\)
title('Healthy Knee Reaction Force - X direction')
xlabel('\% of Stance Phase')
ylabel('Force [N]')
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial4, krXtrial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, krXtrial5)
plot(stpercenttrial6, krXtrial6)
\%Knee Reaction Force Y
figure
plot(stpercenttrial2, krYtrial2)
xlim([0 100])
\(y \lim ([-100\) 50])
title('Healthy Knee Reaction Force - Y
direction')
xlabel('\% of Stance Phase')
ylabel('Force [N]')
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial4, krYtrial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, krYtrial5)
olot(stpercenttrial6, krYtrial6)
\%Ground Reaction Force
\% GRF X

\section*{figure}
plot(stpercenttrial2, normalxforcetrial2)
\(x \lim \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 100\end{array}\right)\right.\)
```

ylim([-150 200])
title("Ground Reaction Force - Healthy -
X Direction")
xlabel("% of Stance Phase")
ylabel("Force [N]")
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial4, normalxforce-
trial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, normalxforce-
trial5)
plot(stpercenttrial6, normalxforce-
trial6)
% GRF Y
figure
plot(stpercenttrial2, normalyforce-
trial2)
xlim([0 100])
ylim([-50 100])
title("Ground Reaction Force - Healthy -
Y Direction")
xlabel("% of Stance Phase")
ylabel("Force [N]")

```
```

plot(stpercenttrial5, normalzforce-
trial5)
plot(stpercenttrial6, normalzforce-
trial6)
plot(stpercenttrial4, normalyforce-
trial4)
plot(stpercenttrial5, normalyforce-
trial5)
plot(stpercenttrial6, normalyforce-
trial6)
% GRF z
figure
plot(stpercenttrial2, normalzforce-
trial2)
xlim([00 100])
ylim([0}1000]
title("Ground Reaction Force - Healthy -
Z Direction")
xlabel("% of Stance Phase")
ylabel("Force [N]")
hold on
plot(stpercenttrial4, normalzforce-
trial4)

```
```


[^0]:    \% here we can fix the sign of each set

