

John G. Degenkolb

FIRE PROTECTION ENGINEER · CODE CONSULTANT 1720 Chevy Knoll Dr., Glendale, California 91206

Telephone: (213) 245-3075

June 29, 1972

George Clark PYROTRONICS 8 Ridgedale Rd. Cedar Knolls, N. J. 07927

Duane Pearsall STATITROL 140 S. Union Lakewood, Colo.

Don Steele ELECTRO SIGNAL LAB 1022 Hingham St. Rockland, Mass. 02370

Gentlemen:

At the June 29, 1972 BOCA Annual Meeting I was successful in getting the Code Change which would have required a single station detector and alarm in each residence and apartment of an apartment house changed from DENIED to FURTHER STUDY so that it will come up again next year. Otherwise I would not have been able to re-submit such a change for another 2 years. We almost got it completely reversed and modified to read:

"All multiple family dwellings (use group L-2) and one-and-two family dwelling units (use group.L~3) shall be provided with an approved detector of products of combustion other than heat installed in an approved manner."

The underscored words took the place of all the other description as to the placement of the detectors. The reference to UL 168 was dropped because it does not specifically refer to ionization type detectors and the strong objections of the National Assoc. of Home Builders and some Building Officials. A Mr. McNabb of UL talked and said that they did actually have a Standard but it was not in publication. This means that each of you would have to submit your device to BOCA with test information, recommendations as to placement, etc. and the BOCA approval recommendation would spell out the installation details based on the information you provide.

I did succeed in reversing the recommendation of the Committee as to the requirement for detectors in elevator lobbies. It was recommended for DENIAL but it was reversed to APPROVAL AS SUBMITTED.

Please send information on your home detector units, performance characteristics, cost etc. to Alan Trellis, Asst. Director Technical Services

National Assoc. of Home Builders 1625 L St. N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036. He is the man who will oppose the acceptance of home detectors by I.C.B.O. in September at the Kansas City, Mo. meeting.

I still think it would be a good idea for you to submit 10 - 12 of the detectors to the Research Committees of both BOCA and ICBO so that they can examine them. It would be even better if you requested a Research Committee Recommendation for approval from each.

Sincerely,



BOCA INTERNATIONAL 1313 East 60th Street Chicago, Illinois 60637

	. 1
Committee	`
Recommendation	1
Conference	

Action.

CODE CHANGE	NO	S98-72		
PART.	1	OF	1	

BASICBUILDINGCODE	(do not write above this line) SECTION 1614.0 SEE ALSO CHANGE NO.		
PROPONENT John G. Degenkolb			
(Check One) Change subsection to read as follows. Add new subsection to read as follows.	Delete subsection and substitute as follows.Delete subsection without substitution.		
Give here the specific code change, with the exact r Show material underscoredto be a	re-wording proposed. Show material in brackets [] to be deleted from present dded to present text.	text.	

1614.3 Door Operation on Dangerous Floors: Each elevator lobby or entrance area shall be provided with heat and smoke sensing elements which will not permit the elevator doors to open when there is a temperature of two hundred fifty (250) degrees F. or a smoke obscuration of three hundredths (0.03) optical density per foot or more at ceiling height

or at an elevation of twelve (12) feet, whichever is lower, at the elevator entrance.

APPROVED 6-29-72

SUPPORTING STATEMENT (S)

There have been numerous deaths where firemen and others have been trapped because the elevator doors opened on a fire floor. The basis for establishing the criteria suggested is given on the attached hand-written memo from the National Bureau of Standards.



BOCA INTERNATIONAL

1313 East 60th Street Chicago, Illinois 60637

	1
Committee	1
Recommendation	
Conference	

CODE		
CHANGE	NO	597
PART_	1	

Karl Sum

Ido not write above this lied

Action

Sum					(do not writ	te above this lin	e)
	BASIC_	BU	LDING	CODE	SECTION_	1219.0	SEE ALSO CHANGE NOS8.
	PROPOI	VENT	John G.	Degenko1b			. 2
	(Check	One)					
	☐ Char	nge sub	section to rea	d as follows.		Delete subs	section and substitute as follows.
	X Add	new sa	eragraph	ead as follows.		Delete subs	ection without substitution.
	Give here Show ma	the spe terial ur	ecific code cha nderscored	ange, with the exact to be a	rewording propose added to present t	ed. Show mate	rial in brackets [] to be deleted from presen
	1219.1	ll Re	esidentia	1 Buildings:	All hotels	, lodging	houses, dormitories and bath h
							ng rooms above the first floor
			cy load o	f fifty (50)	or more sha	all be equ	ipped with an approved fire ala
	system	n.			de la company		
		٠.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A. C.		
	AII	mult	ipie-rami	ly dwellings	(use group	L-2) and	one- and two-family dwelling un etector of products of combusti
							e ceiling or wall at a point
							ceiling of the corridor or
							laced approximately at the
				ctly above th	e stairway	when slee	ping compartments are on an
	level.	. Who	en actuat	ed, the detec	tor shall p	orovide an	alarm suitable to warn the
ED 5181	level.	g mers,		Sinstalled	Loi an	apporter.	l,mannors.
	i.	~ Der	in	now of necessary	a munital	raled-	6/29/72
6	i new	Fin	Africant of	roof of necessary	ice processory	70 0,000	T. C
Newb	leurg auld	200	Attetion.	declosell nonigation	t on the Deeas	la Consur.	McNobb-UL
lero mu	eddisco Eldin	ing the	a problem	2 0 M 111	t on my reserve		Mensell-UL
	tum. 1	Cincu	ing.				

SUPPORTING STATEMENT (S)

As stated by the ICBO Fire and Life Safety Committee in recommending the above for sion in the Uniform Building Code, "Life loss in residential buildings can be attrimainly to the failure of occupants to awaken when fire occurs. Economical detection devices are not available and should be utilized for the protection of occupants. devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal. Smoke devices are low in maintenance costs and operate on a fail safe principal.

(Use additional pages if