# Default Report

*Global Weapons Tracking Website Feedback*

March 16, 2021 10:39 AM MDT

## Q1 - How would you rank your overall experience with the website?



| # | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std Deviation | Variance | Count |
|---|-------|---------|---------|------|---------------|----------|-------|
| 1 | How would you rank your overall experience with the website? | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.05 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 21 |

| # | Field | | Choice Count |
|---|-------|---|--------------|
| 1 | Very Bad | 0.00% | 0 |
| 2 | Generally Bad | 9.52% | 2 |
| 3 | Neutral | 9.52% | 2 |
| 4 | Generally Good | 47.62% | 10 |
| 5 | Very Good | 33.33% | 7 |
| | | | 21 |

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

# Q12 - What do you think of the user interface?



| # | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std Deviation | Variance | Count |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | What do you think of the user interface? | 2.00 | 5.00 | 3.95 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 21 |

| # | Field | Choice Count | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Very Bad | 0.00% | 0 |
| 2 | Generally Bad | 9.52% | 2 |
| 3 | Neutral | 14.29% | 3 |
| 4 | Generally Good | 47.62% | 10 |
| 5 | Very Good | 28.57% | 6 |
| | | | 21 |

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

# Q11 - What is/was your field of study?

What is/was your field of study?

Robotics Engineering

Computer Science

Computer Science

Math

Biomedical Engineering

Robotics Engineering

Engineering

Biomedical Engineering

Biomedical engineering

Law and environmental pokicy

Mechanical Engineering

Economics

Peace and Conflict

Computer Science

Computer Science

Computer Science

Mechanical Engineering

Aerospace Engineering

Computer Science

# Q14 - How easy was it to navigate the user interface?



| # | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std Deviation | Variance | Count |
|---|-------|---------|---------|------|---------------|----------|-------|
| 1 | How easy was it to navigate the user interface? | 1.00 | 4.00 | 1.86 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 21 |

| # | Field | Choice Count | |
|---|-------|--------------|---|
| 1 | Extremely easy | 47.62% | 10 |
| 2 | Somewhat easy | 28.57% | 6 |
| 3 | Neither easy nor difficult | 14.29% | 3 |
| 4 | Somewhat difficult | 9.52% | 2 |
| 5 | Extremely difficult | 0.00% | 0 |
| | | | 21 |

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

# Q15 - What did you like about the design?

What did you like about the design?

Its very clean and easy to use!

I really liked how the choices and options were compartmentalized and split up. The design was really intuitive and easy to understand/navigate

The icons and set up of the home page is clear and intuitive

I really like the layout, the colors, and the general design. Overall great job!

I like the color scheme and big logo buttons. I also like the button at the bottom.

I liked that you can hover over the options for an overview about what the organization is involved with.

Simple and easy to follow

It was colorful

Nice colors and graphics

The number of images and use of clickable icons

It was very clear and there was minimal clutter

The website ran smoothly and brought me to sites

Self-explanatory and efficient

Clear and to the point homepage, search and menu on the homepage, organization logos on each org page.

The color scheme of the website is good.

Its very clean and visually appealing. The search feature is also a nice touch

Very intuitive to figure out where everything is

It is intuitive. It works as expected.

visually attractive

I liked the simplicity of the site.

# Q16 - What did you dislike about the design?

What did you dislike about the design?

Nothing

The color of the design can be somewhat hard to distinguish. The color of the wheel at the bottom to navigate back to home or other sections should maybe be a different color than the green for the icons on the section pages.

The wheel at the bottom isn't very intuitive to know that it is for switching pages. When you hover over the blue blocks that have the names of organizations, and another block comes up under, it feels like you should be able to click the 2nd block or something but it is just a description.

There isn't anything I really disliked about the design.

It feels like it's a lot of button options one one page.

Front page, tracking weapons isn't aligned with the other three categories, there is a goo-like design behind the words which looks a little out of place, and there are links to social networks that don't go anywhere.

The additional website links seem to be in an awkward place for external sources

N/A

Not the best for mobile devices

No breadcrumb trail. Especially when viewing on a cell phone, a breadcrumb trail that follows the page as yiu scroll down will make it much more usable

It was not clear that the button at the bottom of the page would expand and allow me to go to the home page or to a different portion of the website

Very challenging to follow and navigate through the site.

Does not have an intuitive way of going back to previous pages

First page says " Global Weapons Tracking" three times, the menu button on the bottom of the org pages is a little jarring, unclear what the boxes that pop up when I hover a org are, large black title bar is somewhat distracting, logo in top bar isn't aligned with text.

Why do each of the links in the Tracking Weapons section and others have a little arrow and text below it when it is hovered over. This makes the button seem more like a menu for choosing multiple options and is misleading. The menu for the overall website covers the whole screen which is bad. Why are there only cool links for the social media on the main page and not anywhere else. The animated menu in the middle is cool but it doesn't look like a menu option until you click it.

I think the down down descriptions for each link on subpages is slightly confusing. The similar color scheme and shape made it look clickable but it is not. The bottom button for the radial navigation was nice but seemed too large at least on my screen and it lagged when i opened or closed it. One note is some links are either broken or not linked and the team page is empty so those should be fixed or removed

There's a lot of white space

Explain what SCRAP is.

Scrolling to read all the content on a page; dropdown with buttons were not menus per se; unclear what the navigation button at bottom center was for initially and obtrusive when icons popped up and obliterated content on page; relationship between items on a page unclear; purpose of site unclear -- would it have been more functional and effective just to list organizations with their purpose and functions in plain tabular format with links to their websites to avoid having to scroll through several pages where the associations were unclear

I was a little confused as to the purpose of the site on the home page.

# Q17 - How would you rate the speed of this website?



| # | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std Deviation | Variance | Count |
|---|-------|---------|---------|------|---------------|----------|-------|
| 1 | How would you rate the speed of this website? | 3.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 0.56 | 0.32 | 21 |

| # | Field | Choice Count | |
|---|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Unusable | 0.00% | 0 |
| 2 | Slow | 0.00% | 0 |
| 3 | Adequate | 4.76% | 1 |
| 4 | Fast | 57.14% | 12 |
| 5 | Extremely Fast | 38.10% | 8 |
| | | | 21 |

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

## Q10 - Would you use this website again?



| # | Field | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std Deviation | Variance | Count |
|---|-------|---------|---------|------|---------------|----------|-------|
| 1 | Would you use this website again? | 1.00 | 3.00 | 1.67 | 0.84 | 0.70 | 21 |

| # | Field | | Choice Count |
|---|-------|--|--------------|
| 1 | Yes | 57.14% | 12 |
| 2 | Maybe | 19.05% | 4 |
| 3 | No | 23.81% | 5 |
| | | | 21 |

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

# Q18 - What is one thing you would change to improve this website?

What is one thing you would change to improve this website?

You could probably center the titles of different pages a little more to the right but other than that looks great!

I would change the color of the wheel at the bottom to navigate back to home or other sections to be transparent gray maybe instead of the green transparency that is there.

Changing the icon for the wheel to something that makes it more clear that it is a menu for navigating the site (or even writing menu there or adding tooltips). For the blue blocks, making the one that appears when you hover on the block a lighter version of the current color may make it look more like a description box than something you should be able to click on. Also having that triangle that points down to the description point up instead may make it more clear that the lower is a description of the upper. In that way it looks almost like a speech bubble coming out of the initial blue box which is a more common UI element for that type of hover for description set-up

Probably just a me thing, but on the home page, "Tracking Weapons" isn't quite aligned with the other words. I also think you may want to consider having the links for SOAS and Oxfam from the menu open in new tabs so that the user still has access to your site. The only other thing I noticed is on the organization pages, it might be cool to add more/different photos to some of them (some of them just have a photo of what I'm assuming is the organization logo). Overall, the site is great though!!

Maybe create a drop down menu instead of laying out all the page buttons on one page.

I noticed it says SCRAP right next to the Global Weapons Tracking at the top of every page, but it wasn't easy for me to find out what that actually means. Also, after clicking into About, Meet the Team, etc, there's no easy way to get back to the homepage or the other sections like there is for the rest of the website. Finally, the links to all the external sites open a new tab (which I like) but the website doesn't do this for the SOAS or Oxfam homepages; it just opens up the link in the same tab.

Put the external sources in a different place on the website

N/A

Mobile interface

See above

I would make it so that the button at the bottom is either changed to a toolbar or something more recognizable

Home page should inform viewers about the company/project rather than jumping into its subcategories. Because of this, it is a bit challenging to understand where and what I am looking at

See above

Make the front page fit on a single page without scrolling.

Have the buttons look more like buttons instead of them looking like it is opening up other options that you can't even click on.

Make the mid tier pages to get to site specific pages designed so navigation is easier

The links to the twitter, facebook and linkedin don't work

Explain what SCRAP is.

What is one thing you would change to improve this website?

more data intensive and less distributed

Maybe add a lil blurb on the home page that explains the purpose of the website, or make the link to the "About" page more clear on the home page.

## End of Report