Optimizing Microbial Ethanol: Carbon source influence and detrimental genes for ethanol production

A Major Qualifying Project Report:

submitted to the Faculty

of the

WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Bachelor of Science

by

Kyle C. Peet

Date: April 24, 2008

Approved:

Professor Reeta Prusty Rao, Advisor

ABSTRACT

In the search for developing sustainable, renewable and carbon neutral fuel supplies, bioethanol provides a potential replacement to petroleum-based fuels. Further optimization and engineering is necessary to produce organisms capable of fermenting lignocellulosic biomass that will not compete with human food supplies. A profile of ten wild-type *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains was developed for ethanol yields from growth on different sugars. Additionally, several higher ethanol producing yeast knockout strains were identified by use of a Bromothymol Blue pH indicator assay.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The student would like to thank Professor Reeta Prusty Rao for generously hosting and advising this major qualifying project at Gateway Park, WPI Life Sciences and Bioengineering Center. Additional thanks are due to Dr. JoAnn Whitefleet-Smith for instruction and use of laboratory equipment. Finally, WPI faculty Ally Hunter and graduate student Charu Jain were very helpful in assisting with research and providing advice.

LIST OF FIGURES:

Figure 1: Cellulose, hemi-cellulose and lignin profiles	8
Figure 2: Hemi-cellulose structure	9
Figure 3: Metabolic pathway for xylose and glucose break down	13
Figure 4: The pathway showing both xylose and arabinose metabolism	14
Figure 5: BTB color change as pH changes	
Figure 6: Sample BTB plate from Robillard, 2007, Sample unstreaked BTB	19
Figure 7: Y49, Y47, Y52, and Y717 streaked on BTB plates	23
Figure 8: First batch of sugars tested on <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	24
Figure 9: Second batch of sugars tested on <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	24
Figure 10: Retested third batch of sugars tested on S. cerevisiae	25
Figure 11: Sugars tested on <i>Clostridium thermocellum</i>	26
Figure 12: Y101 knockout mutants identified from Appendix F	

LIST OF TABLES:

Table 1	1: Mating type	and genetic ir	formation for	r the 10°	wild-type s	strains used	

Introduction	7
Materials and Methods	19
1. Saccharomyces cerevisiae growth	19
2. Clostridium thermocellum growth	21
3. Gas Chromatogram analysis	22
Results	22
1. Darker colonies generally correlate with higher ethanol production	22
2. Highest ethanol yields resulted from hexose sugars in strains Y101, Y49, Y717, Y Y52 and Y47.	253, 23
3. <i>Clostridium thermocellum</i> needs further optimization to increase growth	25
4. Seven potentially higher producing knockout mutants identified via BTB assay	26
Discussion	28
Future Experiments	32
Appendix A: Bromothymol Blue (BTB) agar plate protocol for S. cerevisiae	34
Appendix B: CMS media protocol for <i>C. thermocellum</i> growth	35
Appendix C: Gas Chromatogram Protocol	36
Appendix D: BTB Assay dark colonies from Y101 library screen	38
Appendix E: Third batch of sugars tested. Retested results shown in Figure 10	44
Appendix F: 44 Y101 knockout mutants of interest from BTB assay	45
Literature Cited	46

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction:

Due to global climate change and rising fossil fuel costs, biological fuel sources show increasing promise for replacing fossil fuels with renewable and sustainable alternatives. As fossil fuels produce greenhouse gases, primarily CO_2 during combustion, a fuel which does not lead to a net increase in atmospheric greenhouse gases is essential. While bioethanol would also yield CO_2 in combustion engines, the CO_2 is being produced from carbon recently sequestered by plants, as opposed to carbon in fossil fuels which was fixed millions of years ago. Therefore using fuels (such as biodiesel, bioethanol and biomethane) in which the carbon source was recently fixed by plants, will lead to a cycling of carbon from atmosphere to plant tissue to fuel and then back to the atmosphere. In addition to not contributing to net greenhouse gas emissions, biological sources of fuel can be both renewable as plants are grown continuously, and sustainable as there is not a finite supply as is the case with fossil fuel sources.

The biological production of ethanol has been conducted for thousands of years with brewer's yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, as it is produces high ethanol yields and grows rapidly in simple conditions. Unfortunately, the best energy sources for *S. cerevisiae* are also those found in human food sources (starch-rich sources in both cases), which brings up the 'food versus fuel' debate. It is an unfavorable situation for yeast and humans to have competing food sources, so other options must be explored. It is important to find microbes which are capable of fermenting the non-food portion of plant matter, or to alter *S. cerevisiae* so that it is capable of metabolizing lignocellulosic plant tissue that is not nutritional for humans. As brewer's yeast has already been bred over the years to have high ethanol production and tolerance, it is one of the most attractive organisms to work with. In addition to these traits, the *S. cerevisiae* genome has been sequenced and much is known about this organism, making genetic manipulation an easier process. Furthermore, it is the only organism with a whole genome deletion library, making

genome scale mutant hunts possible. A final note of potential importance is that *S. cerevisiae* is an organism with GRAS (generally recognized as safe) status by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, which may eliminate concerns about potential runoff contamination and other biohazard safety problems (U.S. Food and Drug Administration 2001).

Lignocellulose consists of cellulose, hemi-cellulose and lignin, the last of which is essentially unfermentable. In the non food portion of corn for example, the dry weight consists of: cellulose 40-50%, hemi-cellulose 25-35%, and lignin 15-20% (Gray, *et al.* 2006). Figure 1 below shows that the content of each of these components may vary greatly between different crops and wastes, so optimizing organisms for fermenting cellulose or hemi-cellulose may be very important to maximize ethanol production. Cellulose is most frequently in the range of 50%, but hemi-cellulose can range from less than 20% to as much as 50% in wheat straw or 85% in leaves (Sun and Cheng 2002).

Lignocellulosic materials	Cellullose (%)	Hemicellulose (%)	Lignin (%)
Hardwoods stems	40-55	24-40	18-25
Softwood stems	45-50	25-35	25-35
Nut shells	25-30	25-30	30-40
Corn cobs	45	35	15
Grasses	25-40	35-50	10-30
Paper	85-99	0	0-15
Wheat straw	30	50	15
Sorted refuse	60	20	20
Leaves	15-20	80-85	0
Cotton seed hairs	80-95	5-20	0
Newspaper	40-55	25-40	18-30
Waste papers from chemical pulps	60-70	10-20	5-10
Primary wastewater solids	8-15	NA ^b	24-29
Swine waste	6.0	28	NA ^b
Solid cattle manure	1.6-4.7	1.4-3.3	2.7-5.7
Coastal Bermuda grass	25	35.7	6.4
Switch grass	45	31.4	12.0

Figure 1: Cellulose, hemi-cellulose and lignin profiles for a variety of crops and wastes (Sun and Cheng 2002).

Lignin is a complex and irregular molecule, and as a result is more difficult to degrade.

Cellulose and hemi-cellulose, while major constituents of plants are not fermented easily by

yeast. Cellulose consists of long chains of $\beta(1-4)$ linked glucose molecules. Hemi-cellulose consists most frequently of a chain of $\beta(1-4)$ linked xylose molecules with a variety of branched sugars, with the most common being xylose, mannose, galactose and arabinose (Gray, *et al.* 2006). There are a variety of types of hemi-celluloses that vary by plant species, such as xylan, arabinoxylan, xyloglucan and others, which makes metabolizing them a more complex process. Glucose is largest component monosaccharide of lignocellulose, with xylose the second most abundant (Kuijper 2006). Figure 2 below shows an example of hemi-cellulose structure.



Figure 2: Sample hemi-cellulose structure. Note the xylose (X) (1-4) linked backbone with branched xylose, arabinose (A), galactose (G) and other compounds such as ferulic acid (FeA) which links lignin and hemi-cellulose (Gray, *et al.* 2006).

As lignocellulose is a complex and compact matrix of cellulose, hemi-celluloses and lignin, it necessitates degradation into simpler components before feeding to microbes for fermentation. In order to make lignocellulosic plant matter more readily fermentable by yeast and other microbes, there are a variety of pre-treatment methods that are important to utilize. Pre-treatment methods may be physical, chemical or biological, and all have varying advantages and disadvantages. The simplest physical methods are chipping and grinding mechanisms to reduce particulate sizes, increasing surface areas of biomass. Next are the most common physicalchemical methods involving steam explosion. Steam explosion uses high pressure and steam treatment followed by a rapid pressure decrease, to break down hemi-cellulose and lignin, allowing cellulose to be attacked by enzymes (Sun and Cheng 2002). As steam explosion results in the formation of compounds inhibiting enzymes and microbe growth and fermentation, ammonia and CO_2 explosion may also be used. While these treatments are more expensive, they do not result in inhibitor compound formation, and CO_2 is cheaper than ammonia explosion (Sun and Cheng 2002).

Chemical pre-treatment techniques may use acid hydrolysis, base hydrolysis, ozone treatment, and hydrogen peroxide treatment. Acid treatment tends to degrade hemi-cellulose the most, while base treatment tends to degrade lignin to a higher degree, but both treatments may result in the formation of various inhibitors (Gray, *et al.* 2006). Ozone treatment on the other hand does not result in the creation of deleterious compounds while it effectively degrades lignin, but it is an expensive process because it requires large amounts of ozone (Sun and Cheng 2002). A final method using hydrogen peroxide breaks down lignin and hemi-cellulose. While physical and chemical pre-treatment steps are important for pulling apart the complex of cellulose, hemi-cellulose and lignin, many pre-treatments are focused only at cellulose, as it is a source of glucose. As the focus is on cellulose, many of the above methods result in losses of fermentable sugars found in hemi-cellulose, which is a great loss of potential energy, as hemi-cellulose can compose a significant portion of plant matter (see Figure 1). It is important to strike a balance between the method that best exposes cellulose to enzymatic degradation, as well as retaining hemi-cellulose as a significant energy source.

After physical and chemical processes, enzymatic action is used to break down cellulose and hemi-cellulose polymers into saccharides that are fermentable by microbes. There are three

main types of cellulases involved in converting cellulose to individual glucose units: endoglucanase, exoglucanase and β -glucosidase. Endoglucanase attacks the interior of cellulose molecules to create a many smaller molecules, exoglucanase cleaves cellobiose (β (1-4) linked glucose disaccharide) units from the ends of cellulose, and β -glucosidase breaks cellobiose into two glucoses (Sun and Cheng 2002; Gray, *et al.* 2006). The major issues with these cellulases are that it may be prohibitively expensive to produce large enough quantities for commercial production, recycling of enzymes between batches can be difficult, and enzyme activities may be low, in part due to inhibitors from lignin and product feedback inhibition (Sun and Cheng 2002; Gray, *et al.* 2006). These cellulases can be obtained from several bacterial and fungal sources, with the fungi *Trichoderma reesei* identified as one species which secretes high quantities of all three types of cellulases as well as some hemi-cellulases (Foreman, *et al.* 2003).

There are a variety of reasons why large molecules such as cellulose and hemi-cellulose are not easily fermented by *S. cerevisiae*. In the case of cellulose, the metabolic equipment is simply not present to break cellulose into glucose monomers. Fungi such as *T. reesei* show promise for solving *S. cerevisiae*'s lack of cellulases, either by pre-treatment using cellulases isolated from *T. reesei*, or engineering these genes into yeast (Foreman, *et al.* 2003; Gray, *et al.* 2006). Another option is species such as *Clostridium thermocellum* that produce cellulases in addition to being able to ferment ethanol. Unfortunately many species, like *C. thermocellum*, have low ethanol yields and are much more fastidious to grow than yeast, e.g. 60° C with obligate anaerobic conditions (Rani and Seenayya 1999).

To metabolizing hemicellulose, the larger molecules need to be broken down with hemicellulases into the constitutive hexoses and pentoses, and then these simple units can enter metabolic pathways. There are many hexoses that yeast is naturally able to ferment, but pentoses

are less efficiently converted to ethanol. Having these enzymes is not enough because common pentoses (xylose and arabinose) do not enter glycolysis easily. While *S. cerevisiae* technically has the enzymes necessary to feed pentoses into glycolysis, endogenous enzymes do not have high activities and favor reactions that do not push pentoses toward glycolysis (Jeffries and Jin 2004).

Shown in Figure 3 below, xylose must first be converted to xylitol via xylose reductase (XR), and xylitol is then converted to xylulose via xylitol dehydrogenase (XDH). After XDH, xylulokinase (XK) converts xylulose into xylulose-5-phosphate (X5P), which is an intermediate of the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP). PPP intermediates will then be converted to glycolysis intermediates as either glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GA3P) (Chu and Lee 2007). The three enzymes (XR, XDH and XK) are in fact present in *S. cerevisiae*, although there are other species, such as *Candida shehatae* and *Pichia stipitis*, whose enzymes are more effective in pentose metabolism (Jeffries and Jin 2004). Additionally, the two step conversion from xylose to xylulose can be accomplished in one step through xylose isomerase (XI), although XI is not found in bakers yeast (Chu and Lee 2007).



Figure 3: The metabolic pathway for which xylose is broken down. Initial critical enzymes such as xylose reductase (XR), xylitol dehydrogenase (XDH), xylulokinase (XK) and xylose isomerase (XI) are at the top of the figure. Transketolase (TKL) and transaldolase (TAL) in the pentose phosphate pathway are also important to note. All enzymes are boxed whereas substrates are in plain text (Chu and Lee 2007).

While xylose is the most significant pentose found in plant tissue, arabinose (specifically Larabinose) may also be present in significant amounts and must enter glycolysis in a similar manner to xylose. Figure 4 below shows arabinose entering the PPP at the same point that xylose must enter (as the X5P intermediate). Arabinose must first be converted to either xylitol or to Lribulose before conversion to X5P (Kuijper 2006).



Figure 4: The pathway showing both xylose and arabinose metabolism. The enzymes of the upper arabinose pathway are as follows: 5.3.1.4 is L-arabinose isomerase, 2.7.1.16 is L-ribulokinase, and 5.1.3.4 is L-ribulose-5-phosphate 4-epimerase. The enzymes of the lower arabinose pathway feeding into xylitol are as follows: 1.1.1.21 is aldose/xylose reductase, 1.1.1.12 is arabinitol 4-dehydrogenase, and 1.1.1.10 is L-xylulose reductase. Note that arabinose has two methods of feeding into the PPP, one of which utilizes xylose enzymes XDH and XK (Kuijper 2006).

Although engineering of exogenous XR and XDH (from *P. stipitis*) or XI (from *Thermus thermophilus* or *Piromyces* sp. E2) into *S. cerevisiae* has greatly increased ethanol production when using xylose as a carbon source, there are other important enzymes involved in pentose metabolism (Chu and Lee 2007). Transaldolase (TAL in Figure 3) is central to the PPP and is a rate limiting enzyme in *S. cerevisiae* strains that are expressing *P. stipitis* XR and XDH (Walfridsson, *et al.* 1995). Additionally, many articles have shown that it is important to overexpress the native XK and other PPP enzymes such as TKL for increasing ethanol yield (Jeffries and Jin 2004; Chu and Lee 2007).

When comparing initial strategies to increase pentose metabolism, the two main strategies are the addition of exogenous XR and XDH or the addition of XI. Both methods have shown to

be effective, but a comparison of the two tactics concluded that the XR-XDH combination produces more ethanol than XI (Chu and Lee 2007). It is also important to note that in the study mentioned, and many others, the endogenous XDH gene (gre3) was deleted in both strains, which increased ethanol and decreased xylitol formation. This is due to the fact that S. *cerevisiae*'s XDH actually favors the reverse reaction, forming xylitol instead of xylulose (Chu and Lee 2007). Another potential reason to choose XR and XDH over XI is that if significant amounts of arabinose are present, arabinose metabolism does not use XI, while it can use XDH (See Figure 4), and this may or may not affect arabinose integration into the PPP. Additionally, there are further methods for increasing the efficiency of the XR-XDH combination. One such mechanism has been to alter the ratios of exogenous XR and XDH expression in S. cerevisiae. It has been shown that greatly increasing the amount of XDH relative to XR will decrease xylitol formation and increase ethanol production (Walfridsson, et al. 1997). It has not been demonstrated whether adding all three enzymes would increase ethanol production more than the XR and XDH combination or XI alone. This would depend on how fast XK (the next enzyme in the pathway) can convert xylulose to X5P, as a bottleneck would be formed from XDH and XI both producing xylulose.

One of the reasons adding XI to yeast is an attractive option is that it avoids the accumulation of xylitol, and more significantly it avoids a redox imbalance formed by XR and XDH. The reaction catalyzed by XR uses the coenzyme NADPH preferentially over NADH, and the reaction catalyzed by XDH can only use the coenzyme NAD⁺ (Liang, *et al.* 2007). This is a significant problem during anaerobic fermentation (which normally favors ethanol production) because NADPH can continually be reformed by the PPP, but NAD⁺ cannot be reformed as glycolysis does not result in a gain of NAD⁺ (Chu and Lee 2007). The end result of this

imbalance is that XDH is inhibited, and forms more xylitol than xylulose, stopping xylose metabolism. Fortunately, a recent experiment has altered XR's preference from NADPH to prefer NADH, which allows for the cycling of NADH and NAD⁺ between these two enzymes, removing the redox imbalance (Liang, *et al.* 2007).

After reviewing a variety of enzymes important for pentose metabolism, as *S. cerevisiae* is currently able to effectively metabolize hexoses, there are further potentially rate limiting steps to be examined. Transport is not a rate limiting step with relatively slower pentose metabolizing strains, but as metabolic pathways are better manipulated, it becomes rate limiting. One method for pentoses, such as xylose, to enter yeast cells is through facilitated diffusion using hexose transporters (Chu and Lee 2007). The endogenous transporters found in *S. cerevisiae* have much higher affinities for glucose, but certain transporters are more effective with xylose than others: specifically HXT4, HXT5 and HXT7 (glucose transporters), GAL2 (galactose transporter), and AGT1 (sucrose-proton symporter) (Chu and Lee 2007). GAL2 is also important for arabinose uptake (Jeffries and Jin 2004). While these native genes may be good targets for upregulation, a xylose/glucose proton symporter (GXS1) and xylose/glucose facilitator (GXF1) have recently been identified from *Candida intermedia*, which have a high affinity for xylose (Leandro, *et al.* 2006).

As *S. cerevisiae* preferentially transports and utilizes glucose, it is essential that engineering of yeast strains maintain pentose uptake and activity when glucose is also present. In plant extracts containing cellulose and hemi-cellulose, a mixture of sugars will be obtained after pre-treatment, which necessitates the organism used for fermentation be able to utilize all of the substrates available for maximum ethanol yield. It has been shown that xylose consumption and ethanol production increases in the presence of lower concentrations of glucose, when compared

to an equal amount of only xylose (van Zyl, *et al.* 1999). Furthermore this study showed that, using a raffinose-xylose combination resulted in more ethanol than the glucose-xylose combination. Other studies have shown that xylose and arabinose consumption are increased in the presence glucose, although arabinose metabolism still needs optimization to produce ethanol (Karhumaa, *et al.* 2006). Picking plant sources that yield the best substrate ratios may be important for optimizing industrial ethanol production. As high concentrations of glucose inhibit pentose uptake and metabolism, choosing the best substrates and altering important enzymes and transporter activities will be important in the optimization process (Karhumaa, *et al.* 2006).

Many important genes have been identified for creating recombinant yeasts which can more effectively ferment a wider variety of substrates. In addition to substrate variety, engineering increased ethanol tolerance is possible, and it can a significant increasing yield, as shown by Alper, *et al.* (2006). There are still many factors that have yet to be discovered with respect to optimizing ethanol, and one area to begin searching is in current libraries of organisms with non-essential genes knocked-out. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has a library of over 5000 genes, which is a significant undertaking to screen all these mutants for their influence on ethanol yield. Designing an assay to pre-screen mutants for potentially higher producing can save a great deal of time and effort. The Y101 knockout library was pre-screened and 44 potentially higher producing mutants were identified by use of the Bromothymol Blue (BTB) Assay (Robillard 2007).

The BTB assay uses bromothymol blue as a pH indicator because agar plates with this reagent change color from a dark green-blue to orange as they become acidic. Specifically, colonies of yeast that are more acidic will be a darker orange color, those that are less acidic will

be a paler yellow color. Figure 5 below shows the BTB color range in liquid at different pH values.



pH 6 (acidic) pH 7 (neutral) pH 8 (basic)

Figure 5: BTB color change as pH changes (Robillard 2007).

Increasing acidity does not necessarily show increased ethanol, as ethanol and CO_2 are the primary products of fermentation ($C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 2 CH_3CH_2OH + 2 CO_2$), but some CO_2 will be converted into carbonic acid in aqueous conditions, increasing the acidity. The addition of this indicator to plates will not quantitatively determine ethanol production, but it provides a qualitative method for screening large numbers of mutants in an easy manner. The Y101 yeast knockout library was chosen as an initial target to screen with the BTB assay as *S. cerevisiae* is a higher ethanol producing organism. Figure 6 below is one example of a plate of knockout mutants from Robillard, 2007. This assay should also be considered for use in other mutant or wild type libraries for species that are capable of ethanol production.



A)

Figure 6: A) Sample plate from Robillard, 2007 of Y101 knockout mutants in BTB assay. Note the large, darker orange colony on the left side of the plate. This colony was selected as a potentially higher producing. B) An unstreaked BTB plate for comparison. Note the color change to yellow or orange with increasing acidity.

Materials and Methods:

- 1. Saccharomyces cerevisiae growth:
- S. cerevisiae from frozen stocks were streaked with a toothpick onto YPD agar plates and

grown for 24 hours at 30° C. All plates were stored short term in a 4° C refrigerator. Individual

colonies were selected and used to inoculate 3 mL liquid YPD cultures for each strain to be

tested with sugars. The ten strains selected were: Y1, Y47, Y49, Y52, Y53, Y101, Y103, Y195,

Y197 and Y717, based on previously used strains in Robillard (2007). Table 1 shows mating

types and genetic information for the 10 strains used. After growing overnight in 30° C shaker, a

10⁻⁴ dilution of cultures were spread on BTB plates to isolate individual colonies (see Appendix

A for BTB plate protocol). BTB plates were grown for 48 or 72 hrs depending on the strain.

Strains Y47, Y49, Y53 and Y101 needed only 48 hours, while the rest needed 72 hours for

colonies to grow large enough to select.

Strain ID	Mating Type	Genetic information	Additional Notes
		leu2-3; 112trp1-1; can1-100; ura3-1; ade2-1;	
Y1	Mat a / α	his3-11	Common lab strain
Y101	Mat a	his3 Δ 1; leu2 Δ 0; met15 Δ 0; ura3 Δ 0	Common lab strain
		his 3Δ 1/his 3Δ 1; leu 2Δ 0/leu 2Δ 0; lys 2Δ 0/LYS2;	
Y103	Mat a / α	MET15/met15∆0; ura3∆0/ura3∆0	Common lab strain
Y47	Mat a / α	Prototroph	
Y49	Mat a / α	Prototroph	
Y52		Prototroph	
Y53		Prototroph	
Y195	Mat α	ura3-52	
Y197	Mat a / α	ura3-52/ura3-52	
Y717			Commercial strain, ATCC# 9763

Table 1: Mating type and genetic information for the 10 wild-type strains used.

For ethanol measurement via gas chromatogram analysis, individual colonies were selected from BTB plates and first grown in shaking, liquid YPD overnight. The next day these cultures were diluted 1:10 and the OD_{600} was taken for each culture. All cultures were adjusted to the density of the culture with the lowest OD_{600} count (generally between 1.0 and 2.0, undiluted OD_{600}). 100 µL of each adjusted culture was used to inoculate 2 mL of the various sugar media (and YP control). The media contained 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L Bactopeptone, and 20 g/L of one of the following sugars: glucose, sucrose, xylose, maltose, raffinose, lactose, dextran, fructose, galactose, mannose, pectin, amylopectin, cellulose, α -cellulose and L-arabinose. Each of the sugars tested were of the D isomers, with the exception of L-arabinose, which is the more commonly found form in nature. Samples were grown for 72 hours, shaking in 15 mL conical tubes with sealed screw caps. Once every 24 hours, the screw caps were loosened briefly to allow for gas exchange, and then re-sealed to prevent vaporization of ethanol. After 72 hours of shaking incubation, the conical tubes were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 rpm. Some cultures were very thick, such as amylopectin, and required this amount of time to fully pellet. 1 mL of each supernatant was saved in 1.5 mL eppendorf tubes or 200 µL was saved in 96-well

plate format with foil covers. 5 μ L was used for gas chromatogram analysis. The remaining supernatants were stored in -20° C freezer.

The 44 Y101 knockout mutants of interest identified in Robillard (2007) were grown in 100 μ L of YPD + G418 (or YPD for the Y101 WT control). 2 μ L of each frozen stock from the library was used to inoculate 100 μ L of media and cells were grown for 48 hours at 30° C. 100 μ L of 50% glycerol (as a cryo-protectant) was added to each well, and cells were frozen in -80° C storage. From this plate of 44 mutants and 3 wells of Y101 controls, 5 μ L of each well was used to inoculate a fresh plate of 200 μ L YPD and cultures were grown for 48 hours at 30° C with foil plate covers. Plates were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1000 rpm. 5 μ L was used for gas chromatogram analysis and the remaining supernatant was frozen.

2. *Clostridium thermocellum* growth:

C. thermocellum was initially grown from frozen stocks (ATCC # 27405) in CMS media; see Appendix B for protocol (Rani and Seenayya 1999). As *C. thermocellum* is a thermophillic obligate anaerobe, 2 mL cultures were grown at 60° C, without shaking, in gas tight jars containing nitrogen gas. Using a 2 way stop-cock, as much air as possible was vacuumed out and then nitrogen gas was added. This was repeated twice to remove as much oxygen as possible. After 5 days incubation, 5 mL cultures of CMS with various sugars were set up in 15 mL conical tubes with screw tops. Amylopectin, pectin, cellulose, α -cellulose, cellobiose (one β 1-4 linked glucose disaccharide) and glucose were tested at 4 g/L, plus CMS media without sugar. Using a Pasteur pipette with the cap held over most of the tube mouth, each sample was flushed with nitrogen gas for 30 seconds to remove as much air as possible. Screw caps were tightened immediately and samples were place in 60° C incubation without shaking for 7 days. Cultures were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2000 rpm, and 200 µL of supernatant was frozen for analysis. *C. thermocellum* from the initial culture was streaked onto standard BTB plates, as well as those containing CMS, BTB and 18 g/L agar, but no growth was observed.

4. Gas Chromatogram analysis:

Samples were run on a Perkin Elmer Autosystem Gas Chromatogram, with a 0.53 mm capillary column. Running temperatures were as follows: oven: 100° C; detector: 200° C; injector: 250° C. Flow rate was 1.5 mL/min, with an automatic stop time of 2 minutes. Percent ethanol values were calculated with a standard curve generated from running standards of 0.25%, 0.5%, 1% and 2% ethanol. For each different type of media, a new standard curve was generated. All samples (including standards) were pre-diluted 1:50 in diH₂0. See Appendix C for complete GC protocol and a sample standard curve.

Results:

1. Darker colonies generally correlate with higher ethanol production.

The ten wild-type strains were pre-grown on BTB plates and the results of two plates are shown below. Strains Y49, 47, Y52 and Y717 are shown in Figure 7. These were among the higher ethanol producing strains and the colonies are generally darker, an orange-red color.



Figure 7: A) Y49 (left) and Y47 (right) on a BTB plate. B) Y52 (left) and Y717 (right) on a BTB plate. These strains were among the highest ethanol producers and are a very redorange color.

2. Highest ethanol yields resulted from hexose sugars in strains Y101, Y49, Y717, Y53, Y52 and Y47.

Figures 8, 9 and 10 show the results for ethanol produced from the first three batches of sugars tested across 10 WT strains. As ethanol percents are generated with standard curves which do not intersect the y-axis at exactly the origin, some very low ethanol values show negative values. The amount of ethanol produced is negligible in these cases. It is quite clear that simple hexoses and disaccharide-hexoses such as glucose, sucrose, maltose, galactose, mannose and fructose are carbon sources that result in high ethanol production. Additionally, many WT strains show different profiles of what sugars they are capable of fermenting. Generally, strains Y101, Y49, Y717, Y53, Y52 and Y47 consistently produced more ethanol with a variety of energy sources, although other strains occasionally resulted in high yields. Figure 8 and 9 demonstrate that the same strains, even in different batches have consistent ethanol yields, with a variety of substrates.



Figure 8: First batch of sugars tested on S. cerevisiae.



Figure 9: Second batch of sugars tested on S. cerevisiae.

A third batch of sugars is shown in Figure 10. This figure is a retest of sugars due to anomalous results where a variety of generally unfermentable sugars showed high levels of ethanol (see Appendix E). The wild-type strains tested would not be expected to produce ethanol off of those carbon sources, so the experiment was repeated. The retest produced more expected results with only glucose and maltose producing high ethanol. Note that in Figures 8 and 10, strains Y49 and Y717 were able to ferment maltose, while strains Y1 and Y101 were unable to ferment maltose in both batches.



Figure 10: Retested third batch of sugars on *S. cerevisiae*, due to results from the third batch (Appendix E).

3. *Clostridium thermocellum* needs further optimization to increase growth.

C. thermocellum had quite low ethanol yields (less than 0.3%) which is presumably due to

an environment not fully anaerobic. The results in Figure 11 show that C. thermocellum can

effectively grow on a wide range on substrates that were unfermentable for S. cerevisiae, but that

ethanol yields and growth rates are much lower. Interestingly, Pectin produced less ethanol than the media control with no carbon source. This may have been due to the limits of the linear range of the ethanol standard curve, or detection limits for the gas chromatogram. There was visible growth (culture turbidity) after 3-5 days in the gas tight jar culture, where it was possible to remove air and flush with nitrogen multiple times. After 7 days of growth in 15 mL conical tubes to measure ethanol content, growth was barely observable. For ethanol measurement, cultures could not be grown without caps in the gas tight jar due to high levels of ethanol vaporization at 60° C. *C. thermocellum* did not grow on either the standard BTB plates (Appendix A), or those containing CMS media and BTB. These plates dried out rapidly in 60° C.



Figure 11: Sugars tested on *Clostridium thermocellum*.

4. Seven potentially higher producing knockout mutants identified via BTB assay. The 44 knockout mutants identified from the BTB assay were each tested twice and the mean ethanol produced for each mutant is shown in Appendix F. Of the 44 mutants that showed darker colonies in the BTB assay, 31 showed an average higher ethanol production than wild type, while 13 produced less ethanol than wild type Y101. This is 70% accuracy to predict higher producing strains. Seven of the 44 strains were particularly noteworthy and were retested in 15 mL conical tubes; the results are in Figure 12 below. All of these produced more ethanol than wild type in this test, with the YIL049W knockout producing more than double the ethanol of wild type, and the YIL098C knockout producing nearly double.



Figure 12: Y101 knockout mutants identified from Appendix F as the highest producing knockouts. All 7 retested mutants produced more ethanol than WT, with knockouts of YIL098C and YIL049W the two highest producing.

Discussion:

A wide variety of sugars (15 in total) were chosen to test across ten wild-type strains to develop a profile for the best ethanol producing strains (Figures 8-10). As a beginning step in the process of optimizing ethanol production, choosing a strain that has a higher initial ethanol output and tolerance is important. While general labs strains have been engineered to become significantly more ethanol tolerant (Alper, *et al.* 2006), it will be beneficial to choose a target for engineering that already has higher ethanol tolerance and the ability to ferment a wider variety of substrates. The most robust starting organism will help in maximizing product when feeding an organism a complex mix of plant tissues that will certainly contain many different sugars.

Unfortunately, none of the strains tested were able to ferment the sugars present in large quantities in cellulose and hemi-cellulose; the non human food portion of plants. This is not surprising, and it indicates what metabolic machinery needs to be improved upon or added in these strains to increase ethanol production. For example, Y717 and Y52 are both higher ethanol producing strains that effectively metabolize glucose, sucrose, maltose, mannose, galactose and fructose, but important carbon sources like xylose and cellulose are unfermentable by these strains. Fitting the strain to the substrate is certainly important, as some strains may produce large amounts of ethanol with one carbon source, but very little with another. Y101 and Y1 are two such examples as they produces high ethanol with several substrates, but close to zero ethanol when fed maltose. Maltose did result in relatively high ethanol production for some strains, such as Y717, Y52, Y47 and Y49 (See Figure 8). Y101 and Y1's lack of ability to ferment maltose may be due to the fact that they are domesticated, haploid lab strains, which may have reduced some of their functionality. Y717 on the other hand is a commercial strain obtained from the ATCC, and diploid as well, which generally makes a strain more robust. Y47,

Y49, Y52 and Y53 are all prototrophs (see Table 1) so they will grow quickly without requiring amino acid supplementation.

While only an initial step in screening strains for their substrate fermenting versatility and ethanol yield, it provides an important starting point. Screens such as the one done here will help in a two-fold manner: by eliminating the weakest strains from the focus of research, and by identifying areas where potentially useful strains need improvement. The most important areas for improvement are for yeasts to be able to ferment cellulose and hemi-cellulose, so feed-stocks will need pre-treatment to break cellulose and hemi-cellulose into monomers, and pentose metabolizing enzymes will need to be added to *S. cerevisiae*. In addition to these two aspects, discovering genes that may be detrimental to ethanol production is another step in the optimization process.

Although the BTB assay does not predict every instance of high ethanol producing strains, it has been demonstrated that 70% of the predicted higher producing strains actually produced more ethanol. Therefore this assay may be a useful tool to screen a variety of strains. As the color change in BTB plates shows increasing acidity, it is useful as a qualitative, but not quantitative, measurement of increased metabolism. This increased acidity is correlated with increased ethanol production as carbonic acid is formed as a byproduct of fermentation. Appendix D provides descriptions of the 44 Y101 knockout mutations tested, the results displayed in Appendix F.

The strains of particular interest in Figure 12 have knockouts in a variety of genes with some that appear to be generally unrelated to ethanol production, and some that will clearly influence cell metabolism. YMR312W is a subunit of the Elongator complex involved in transcription with RNA polymerase II holoenzyme, and its deletion results in altered mRNA

expression for a wide variety of genes. This deletion mutant will therefore have an assortment of altered cellular pathways and it is unknown how this may affect metabolism. YLL041C is the iron-sulfur protein subunit of succinate dehydrogenase. This is interesting, because as an enzyme involved in the TCA cycle, the aerobic respiration pathway, increased ethanol may result from TCA cycle being shut down and glycolytic fermentation as the only energy source. Glycolysis produces ethanol and acetate as its final products, so a non-functional TCA cycle may be somewhat beneficial for ethanol production. YIL098C was of particular interest because it resulted in over 1% ethanol, while wild type produced less than 0.6% ethanol. YIL098C is a mitochondrial matrix protein involved in assembly of ATP synthase and knockout mutants have decreased glycogen accumulation. This provides further evidence that proteins involved in aerobic respiration (in the mitochondria) may be detrimental to increased ethanol formation, as pyruvate is not turned into ethanol in aerobic respiration. If the YIL098C knockout mutant cannot accumulating glycogen, perhaps it is producing more ethanol as a source of stored energy. YIL049W was the highest producing of the re-tested knockout mutants in Figure 12, producing more than double the ethanol as compared with wild type. It is particularly interesting as it is a protein of unknown function, although it is utilized in filamentous growth. Further characterization of the YIL049W protein may be important, as the knockout showed promising ethanol yields. YIL017C is part of degradation of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, and it is a negative regulator of gluconeogenesis. Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase acts in gluconeogenesis, performing the opposite function of phosphofructokinase in glycolysis. YOR253W is a subunit of N-terminal acetyltransferase, which is involved in several cellular functions such as cell cycle, heat-shock resistance, mating, and others. YCL008C is part of the ESCRT-I complex which is involved in protein sorting into the endosome. It is unclear how these last three proteins may

impact ethanol production as their effects are wide-reaching. The genes identified in this report have a variety of functions and influence many aspects other than ethanol formation. As the BTB assay is a qualitative means for predicting ethanol yield, there are likely many more genes that are important to up or downregulate for maximizing ethanol.

As C. thermocellum has the ability to metabolize cellulosic sources of carbon to form ethanol, it is important to learn more about this organism. Using this microbe to ferment cellulosic carbon sources may not be the most efficient process, but it is a potential candidate to use for obtaining enzymes that can be inserted into more efficient fermenting microbes. The greatest difficulties encountered with this organism are its fastidious growth requirements as an obligate, thermophilic anaerobe. While growing cultures at 60° C it is not prohibitively difficult, it does raise difficulties for retaining ethanol, which has a boiling point of 78.4° C. Maintaining cultures which evaporate rapidly may skew results to show low ethanol levels. If this organism was to be the subject of further experiments, two-way stoppers for individual test tubes would be necessary to ensure a more anaerobic environment. Additionally, a smaller head-space (5 mL of culture was grown in 15 mL tubes) would likely help, allowing less room for ethanol evaporation. In addition to complications with growth in liquid cultures, the high growing temperature makes the use of agar plates even more difficult. C. thermocellum colonies may have grown on the various BTB plates tested if allowed to grow for longer, but this was not possible as the plates dried out in approximately 1 week, and no growth was observed in this time.

Future Experiments:

Further characterization of YIL049W and YIL098C: As YIL049W and YIL098C were identified as high producing knockout mutants, it may help to gain further information on these proteins, especially in the case of YIL049W. While it is known that YIL098C is a mitochondrial protein, little is known about YIL049W. It would also be beneficial to learn more about YIL049W for the sake of science, as it has not been studied significantly. If either of these proteins inhibit ethanol production, it could be a simple way of boosting ethanol yield by knocking it out in other strains.

Screen all mitochondrial proteins:

As both YIL098C and YLL041C were higher producing knockout strains and both are involved in aerobic metabolism, a closer examination of all nuclear encoded mitochondrial proteins may be beneficial. As ethanol is not produced during the aerobic TCA cycle, the deletion of certain of these proteins may in fact increase ethanol yield, by stopping the cycle. Shunting pyruvate toward ethanol production instead of the TCA cycle may be possible by deletion of certain genes. Of course deletion of key enzymes involved in metabolism may stunt growth greatly, resulting in lower ethanol yields, but a closer look is certainly warranted.

Screen Y101 library mutants with sugars other than glucose:

The easily fermentable sugars such as glucose and some other hexoses (Figures 8 and 9) are most abundant in human food crops, so the fermentation of generally unfermentable sugars is desired. Certain genes may inhibit fermentation of some sugars over others. This would involve creating more BTB plates with a carbon source other than glucose to perform the initial BTB assay. Screen *E. coli* libraries with BTB assay:

S. cerevisiae is a useful model organism with a library of mutants, but there are other organisms with this tool as well. *E. coli* is another organism that can produce ethanol, and screening *E. coli* mutant libraries with the BTB assay followed by quantitative methods may identify further genes of importance in ethanol production.

Add pentose fermenting genes to *S. cerevisiae* and conduct further co-substrate experiments:

Small amount of glucose and raffinose have been shown to increase xylose metabolism and ethanol yield (van Zyl, *et al.* 1999). Perhaps other sugars may be beneficial to test as co-substrates with xylose. In order to perform co-substrate experiments, the addition of a variety of genes that would enable *S. cerevisiae* to ferment xylose will be necessary as the wild-type strains are not effective in utilizing xylose. The genes of interest for addition and upregulation are discussed above in the introduction.

Appendix A: Bromothymol Blue (BTB) agar plate protocol for S. cerevisiae

SC drop out media:

1. 6.7 g/L Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids

2. 1.4 g/L Yeast synthetic drop out supplement

3. 18 g/L Bactoagar

Autoclave 20 minutes

4. 40 mL of 50% Glucose solution (w/V) per Liter of SC media Autoclave Glucose solution for 20 minutes (separate container from 3 components above).

5. Add autoclaved amino acid supplements to SC media in the following amounts:
10 mL/ L Leucine
9 mL/ L Uracil
6 mL/ L Tryptophan
3 mL/ L Histidine

pH adjustment:

6. After autoclaving SC media, adjust pH of entire bottle while keeping on hot plate so media does not solidify.

7. Add 1.5 mL/ L of 5 N NaOH

8. Mix media and test pH with pH strip

9. Media should be ~ pH 7, if not adjust until it is at 7

Bromothymol Blue addition:

10. Add 0.13 g/ L of BTB

Media will turn to a dark blue-green.

11. Mix thoroughly and pour plates (~25 mL per plate or until plates are half full)

Appendix B: CMS media protocol for *C. thermocellum* growth

Add the following CMS media components: 1.5 g/L KH₂PO₄ 2.0 g/L K₂HPO₄ 2.0 g/L Urea 0.8 g/L MgSO₄ 0.15 g/L CaCl₂ 3.5 g/L Sodium Citrate 0.5 g/L Yeast Extract 0.15 g/L Cysteine HCl 0.002 g/L Resazurin 4.0 g/L Cellobiose (or glucose, cellulose, etc.)

pH adjustment:

pH will be ~7.5. Test pH with strip and adjust to 6.7-7.0 with HCl Autoclave 20 minutes

For CMS BTB plates:

CMS media was made as described above with the absence of Resazurin which is another indicator.

18g/L agar was added

0.13 g/L BTB was added after autoclaving

Appendix C: Gas Chromatogram Protocol

Turning on the GC and running samples:

1. Turn on GC power switch

2. Turn N₂, H₂ and Air tanks on

3. Turn on Integrator

4. Hit 'Status Escape' and wait until display reads 'Ready'. Display will flash if not ready

5. Press 'Auto Zero' key when GC is ready.

6. Turn hydrogen knob (on top of GC) all the way on. Wait approximately 1 minute.

7. Open right panel and place igniter firmly on detector. Wait until you can see a slight orange glow on the detector.

8. Turn air knob (on top of GC) all the way on. Listen for double pop noise. If unsure whether gas is lit, retry, waiting for a few minutes between ignition attempts.

9. Dilute all samples and Standards (0.25%, 0.5%, 1% and 2% [can include 4% as well] ethanol) 1:50 in reagent grade water.

10. Rinse syringe thoroughly with rdH₂0 before using.

11. Pull up 1 μ L of sample and then 1 μ L of air into syringe. Make sure no air bubbles are present in the sample.

12. Insert syringe into the detector and push plunger down in one smooth motion, while hitting the 'Start' key on the Integrator at the same time. While insert syringe support the needle so as not to bend it.

13. Rinse syringe 3-4 times in rdH_20 and prepare next sample. The next sample can be injected as soon as the green ready light on the integrator is on.

Turning off the GC:

1. Wait at least 10 minutes after last sample was run before turning off

2. Turn carrier gas (N_2) off. Wait till pressure drops to zero.

3. Turn Air and H_2 gas off.

Note: H₂ gas will take a few days to drop as it has a closed seal with the GC.

4. Turn off flow valves for Air and H_2 on top of the GC. The voltage on the display should drop.

5. Turn off GC power

6. Turn off Integrator power

Data Analysis:

1. Make a standard curve with ethanol standards for each different type of media. Plot percent ethanol vs. ethanol peak area. Run a linear regression line to the data plot. See sample standard curve below:



Note: Ethanol peak areas should have a retention time between 0.34-0.38, but this will vary depending on who injects the samples. The retention times are printed to the right of peaks and below the graph, next to the corresponding peak area.

2. Use standard curve to calculate ethanol percents based on peak areas for each sample.

Appendix D: BTB Assay dark colonies from Y101 library screen

The 44 genes below were identified from Robillard, 2007. Gene ID's and Open Reading Frame (ORF) names are provided

ORF Name: YNL148C

Gene ID: ALF1

Info: Microtubules are conserved cytoskeletal elements that form by the polymerization of alpha- and beta-tubulin heterodimers. The formation of polymerization-competent tubulin heterodimers requires that alpha-tubulin and beta-tubulin be properly folded. Specific cofactors are required for the folding of alpha- and beta-tubulin in vitro and homologs of these cofactors have been found in many organisms, including S. cerevisiae. In S. cerevisiae, ALF1 is a non-essential gene that is homologous to mammalian cofactor B 3, 1. In vitro, cofactor B acts in the post-chaperonin folding of alpha-tubulin 3. Consistent with in vitro studies, Alf1p genetically acts upstream of Pac2p/cofactor E 3, 1. ALF1 genetically interacts with the other tubulin cofactors (CIN1/cofactor D, RBL2/cofactor A), and is essential in combination with specific alpha-tubulin mutants 3, 1. alf1 null mutants are super-sensitive to benomyl, a microtubule depolymerizing drug 1. Alf1p interacts with alpha-tubulin in the yeast two-hybrid and immunoprecipitation assays 1. Alf1p and cofactor B both contain a single CLIP-170 domain, which is found in several microtubule-associated proteins and is required for the Alf1p-alpha-tubulin interaction 1. Alf1p binds to a face of alpha-tubulin distinct of that of beta-tubulin binding 1. Alf1p-GFP localizes to cytoplasmic microtubules, suggesting that Alf1p may play an additional role in microtubule maintenance 1.

ORF Name: YML086C

Gene ID: ALO1

Info: D-Arabinono-1,4-lactone oxidase, catalyzes the final step in biosynthesis of D-erythroascorbic acid, which is protective against oxidative stress. ALO1 encodes <u>D-arabinono-1,4-lactone oxidase</u> (called ALO in other organisms), a mitochondrial protein that converts D-arabinono-1,4-lactone to D-erythro-ascorbate (EASC), the enantiomer of vitamin C. Saccharomyces cerevisiae does not normally <u>synthesize vitamin C</u> (ASC), but Alo1p is sufficiently promiscuous that it can convert a number of related substrates to either EASC or ASC, depending on the chirality of the substrate. Like vitamin C, EASC is an <u>antioxidant</u>. Deletion of ALO1 results in increased <u>sensitivity to oxidative stress</u> and an increased rate of gross chromosomal rearrangements, implying that Alo1p suppresses oxidative damage of DNA. Transcription of ALO1 is not <u>regulated</u> in response to oxidative stress. Alo1p exists as a monomer embedded in the <u>mitochondrial membrane</u> and binds FAD.

ORF Name: YDR275W

Gene ID: BSC2

Info: Protein of unknown function, ORF exhibits genomic organization compatible with a translational read through-dependent mode of expression.

ORF Name: YIL049W

Gene ID: DFG10 Info: Protein of unknown function, involved in filamentous growth.

ORF Name: YDR069C

Gene ID: DOA4

Info: Ubiquitin hydrolase, required for recycling ubiquitin from proteasome-bound ubiquitinated intermediates, acts at the late endosome/prevacuolar compartment to recover ubiquitin from ubiquitinated membrane proteins en route to the vacuole.

ORF Name: YPR023C Gene ID: EAF3

Info: Esa1p-associated factor, nonessential component of the NuA4 acetyltransferase complex, homologous to Drosophila dosage compensation protein MSL3.

ORF Name: YMR312W Gene ID: ELP6 **Info:** Subunit of Elongator complex, which is required for modification of wobble nucleosides in tRNA; required for Elongator structural integrity. Elp6p is part of the six-subunit Elongator complex, which is a major histone acetyltransferase component of the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme responsible for transcriptional elongation. Elongator contains two discrete subcomplexes, one consisting of Iki3p/Elp1p, Elp2p, and Elp3p, and the other consisting of Elp4p, Iki1p/Elp5p, and Elp6p. Elongator binds to both naked and nucleosomal DNA, can acetylate both core histones and nucleosomal substrates, and plays a role in chromatin remodeling. Its activity is directed specifically toward the amino-terminal tails of histone H3 and H4, with the predominant acetylation sites being lysine-14 of histone H3 and lysine-8 of histone H4. Of the six Elongator subunits, only Iki1p/Elp5p is essential for growth, and deletion of the other individual subunits causes significantly altered mRNA expression levels for many genes. Disruption of the Elongator complex confers resistance to the Kluyveromyces lactis zymotoxin, and a reduced sensitivity to the Pichia inositovora toxin.

ORF Name: YHR123W

Gene ID: EPT1

Info: sn-1,2-diacylglycerol ethanolamine- and cholinephosphotranferase; not essential for viability. Gene products are diacylglycerol cholinephosphotransferase, diacylglycerol ethanolaminephosphotransferase.

ORF Name: YIL098C

Gene ID: FMC1

Info: Mitochondrial matrix protein, required for assembly or stability at high temperature of the F1 sector of mitochondrial F1F0 ATP synthase; null mutant temperature sensitive growth on glycerol is suppressed by multicopy expression of Odc1p. Deletion results in decreased metabolite accumulation (glycogen).

ORF Name: YOR375C

Gene ID: GDH1

Info: NADP(+)-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase, synthesizes glutamate from ammonia and alpha-ketoglutarate; rate of alpha-ketoglutarate utilization differs from Gdh3p; expression regulated by nitrogen and carbon sources, Exhibits sensitivity at 15 generations when grown in medium of pH 8. Topoisomerases are highly conserved; yeast Top1p shares 57% identity with human Top1. The Top1 protein, like other type IB topoisomerases, relaxes supercoiled DNA by forming a DNA-enzyme complex and transiently cleaving one strand via a nucleophilic attack that results in a covalent linkage with the 3' end of the cleaved strand. The 5' end can then rotate freely. Top1p is the target of the antitumor drug camptothecin. Camptothecin increases the half-life of the enzyme-DNA complex, which results in double-stranded DNA breaks during DNA replication. Specific amino acid substitutions in Top1p have the same effect as the drug. Suppressors of these mutations were identified that reduced the enzyme's affinity for DNA.

ORF Name: YGL121C

Gene ID: GPG1

Info: Proposed gamma subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein that interacts with the receptor Grp1p; involved in regulation of pseudohyphal growth; requires Gpb1p or Gpb2p to interact with Gpa2p.

ORF Name: YLR384C

Gene ID: IKI3

Info: Subunit of Elongator complex, which is required for modification of wobble nucleosides in tRNA; maintains structural integrity of Elongator; homolog of human IKAP, mutations that cause familial dysautonomia. Iki3p/Elp1p is part of the six-subunit Elongator complex, which is a major histone acetyltransferase component of the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme responsible for transcriptional elongation. Elongator contains two discrete subcomplexes, one consisting of Iki3p/Elp1p, Elp2p, and Elp3p, and the other consisting of Elp4p, Iki1p/Elp5p, and Elp6p. Elongator binds to both naked and nucleosomal DNA, can acetylate both core histones and nucleosomal substrates, and plays a role in chromatin remodeling. Its activity is directed specifically toward the amino-terminal tails of histone H3 and H4, with the predominant acetylation sites being lysine-14 of histone H3 and lysine-8 of histone H4. Within Elongator, Iki3p/Elp3p interacts specifically with Elp2p. Of the six Elongator subunits, only Iki1p/Elp5p is essential for growth, and deletion of the other individual subunits causes significantly altered mRNA expression levels for many genes. Disruption of the Elongator complex confers resistance to the Kluyveromyces lactis zymotoxin, and a reduced sensitivity to the Pichia inositovora toxin. Mutations in the human Iki3p homolog IKAP are associated with the disease Familial Dysautonomia.

ORF Name: YIL007C

Gene ID: NAS2

Info: Protein with similarity to the p27 subunit of mammalian proteasome modulator; not essential; interacts with Rpn4p.

ORF Name: YOR253W

Gene ID: NAT5

Info: Subunit of the N-terminal acetyltransferase NatA (Nat1p, Ard1p, Nat5p); N-terminally acetylates many proteins, which influences multiple processes such as the cell cycle, heat-shock resistance, mating, sporulation, and telomeric silencing.

ORF Name: YLR335W

Gene ID: NUP2

Info: Protein involved in nucleocytoplasmic transport, binds to either the nucleoplasmic or cytoplasmic faces of the nuclear pore complex depending on Ran-GTP levels; also has a role in chromatin organization. NUP2 encodes a non-essential <u>nuclear pore</u> protein that has a central domain similar to those of <u>Nsp1</u>p and <u>Nup1p</u>. <u>Transport of macromolecules between the nucleus and the cytoplasm</u> of eukaryotic cells occurs through the <u>nuclear pore complex (NPC)</u>, a large macromolecular complex that spans the <u>nuclear envelope</u>. The structure of the vertebrate NPC has been studied extensively; The yeast NPC shares several features with the vertebrate NPC, despite being smaller and less elaborate. Many yeast nuclear pore proteins, or nucleoporins, have been identified by a variety of genetic approaches. Nup2 mutants show genetic interactions with <u>nsp1</u> and <u>nup1</u> conditional alleles. <u>Nup1</u>p interacts with the nuclear import factor Srp1p and with the small GTPase Ran.

ORF Name: YLR338W

Gene ID: OPI9

Info: Dubious open reading frame unlikely to encode a protein, based on available experimental and comparative sequence data; partially overlaps the verified ORF VRP1/YLR337C.

ORF Name: YIL050W

Gene ID: PCL7

Info: Pho85p cyclin of the Pho80p subfamily, forms a functional kinase complex with Pho85p which phosphorylates Mmr1p and is regulated by Pho81p; involved in glycogen metabolism, expression is cell-cycle regulated. <u>Involved in regulation of glycogen biosynthetic process</u>, regulation of glycogen catabolic process.

ORF Name: YNL264C

Gene ID: PDR17

Info: Phosphatidylinositol transfer protein (PITP), downregulates Plb1p-mediated turnover of phosphatidylcholine, found in the cytosol and microsomes, homologous to Pdr16p, deletion affects phospholipid composition.

ORF Name: YIL053W

Gene ID: RHR2

Info: Constitutively expressed isoform of DL-glycerol-3-phosphatase; involved in glycerol biosynthesis, induced in response to both anaerobic and, along with the Hor2p/Gpp2p isoform, osmotic stress.

ORF Name: YFL033C

Gene ID: RIM15

Info: Glucose-repressible protein kinase involved in signal transduction during cell proliferation in response to nutrients, specifically the establishment of stationary phase; identified as a regulator of IME2; substrate of Pho80p-Pho85p kinase.

ORF Name: YDR388W Gene ID: RVS167

Info: Actin-associated protein, subunit of a complex (Rvs161p-Rvs167p) involved in regulation of actin cytoskeleton, endocytosis, and viability following starvation or osmotic stress; homolog of mammalian amphiphysin.

ORF Name: YLL041C Gene ID: SDH2

Info: Iron-sulfur protein subunit of succinate dehydrogenase (Sdh1p, Sdh2p, Sdh3p, Sdh4p), which couples the oxidation of succinate to the transfer of electrons to ubiquinone. Involved in TCA cycle, aerobic respiration pathways.

ORF Name: YGR229C Gene ID: SMI1

Info: Protein involved in the regulation of cell wall synthesis; proposed to be involved in coordinating cell cycle progression with cell wall integrity. Involved with <u>1,3-beta-glucan biosynthetic process</u>, cell wall organization and biogenesis.

ORF Name: YJL192C

Gene ID: SOP4

Info: ER-membrane protein; suppressor of pma1-7, deletion of SOP4 slows down the export of wild-type Pma1p and Pma1-7 from the ER.

ORF Name: YIL073C

Gene ID: SPO22

Info: Meiosis-specific protein essential for chromosome synapsis, similar to phospholipase A2, involved in completion of nuclear divisions during meiosis; induced early in meiosis.

ORF Name: YNL224C

Gene ID: SQS1

Info: Protein of unknown function; overexpression antagonizes the suppression of splicing defects by spp382 mutants; green fluorescent protein (GFP)-fusion protein localizes to both the cytoplasm and the nucleus.

ORF Name: YCR081W

Gene ID: SRB8

Info: Subunit of the RNA polymerase II mediator complex; associates with core polymerase subunits to form the RNA polymerase II holoenzyme; essential for transcriptional regulation; involved in glucose repression.

ORF Name: YCL008C

Gene ID: STP22

Info: Component of the ESCRT-I complex, which is involved in ubiquitin-dependent sorting of proteins into the endosome; homologous to the mouse and human Tsg101 tumor susceptibility gene; mutants exhibit a Class E Vps phenotype.

ORF Name: YOL006C

Gene ID: TOP1

Info: Topoisomerase I, nuclear enzyme that relieves torsional strain in DNA by cleaving and re-sealing the phosphodiester backbone; relaxes both positively and negatively supercoiled DNA; functions in replication, transcription, and recombination. Topoisomerases catalyze the interconversion between topological states of DNA by breaking and rejoining DNA strands. These changes in DNA topology are required during several cellular processes such as replication, transcription, recombination, and chromosome condensation. There are three classes of topoisomerases that are distinguished by substrate (IA, IB, II). Type I topoisomerases cleave one DNA strand, while Type II enzymes cleave a pair of complementary DNA strands. The type IB topoisomerases relax both positively and negatively supercoiled DNA; TOP1 encodes the type IB enzyme in yeast. Type IA topoisomerase, encoded by TOP3 in yeast, relaxes only negatively supercoiled DNA, and yeast topoisomerase II is encoded by the TOP2 gene.

ORF Name: YDR074W

Gene ID: TPS2

Info: Phosphatase subunit of the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase/phosphatase complex, which synthesizes the storage carbohydrate trehalose; expression is induced by stress conditions and repressed by the Ras-cAMP pathway. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, trehalose is a major reserve carbohydrate involved in reponses to thermal, osmotic,

oxidative, and ethanol stresses, as well as the suppression of denatured protein aggregation. Trehalose biosynthesis is a two-step process in which glucose 6-phosphate and UDP-glucose are converted by trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS), encoded by TPS1, into alpha,alpha-trehalose 6-phosphate, which is then converted with water into trehalose and phosphate by trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase (TPP), encoded by TPS2. The trehalose biosynthetic pathway can affect glycolysis in that one of its intermediates, trehalose-6-phosphate, inhibits hexokinase activity, which restricts the influx of sugars to glycolysis during the switch to fermentative metabolism. Tps1p and Tps2p are part of the alpha,alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase complex with Tps3p and Ts11p, regulatory proteins with partially overlapping functions, though some Tps1p appears to be present in the cell as a monomer. TPS1, TPS2, TPS3 and TSL1 are coinduced under stress conditions, and corepressed by the Ras-cAMP pathway. Deletion of TPS1 results in loss of both TPS activity and trehalose biosynthesis, whereas deletion of TPS2 results in temperature sensitivity and loss of TPP activity.

ORF Name: YOL093W

Gene ID: TRM10

Info: tRNA methyltransferase, methylates the N-1 position of guanosine in tRNAs.

ORF Name: YPR066W

Gene ID: UBA3

Info: Protein that acts together with Ula1p to activate Rub1p before its conjugation to proteins (neddylation), which may play a role in protein degradation; GFP-fusion protein localizes to the cytoplasm in a punctate pattern.

ORF Name: YLL039C

Gene ID: UBI4

Info: Ubiquitin, becomes conjugated to proteins, marking them for selective degradation via the ubiquitin-26S proteasome system; essential for the cellular stress response.

ORF Name: YGR072W

Gene ID: UPF3

Info: Component of the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway, along with Nam7p and Nmd2p; involved in decay of mRNA containing nonsense codons; involved in telomere maintenance.

ORF Name: YIL017C

Gene ID: VID28

Info: Protein involved in proteasome-dependent catabolite degradation of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase); localized to the nucleus and the cytoplasm.

ORF Name: YOL105C

Gene ID: WSC3

Info: Partially redundant sensor-transducer of the stress-activated PKC1-MPK1 signaling pathway involved in maintenance of cell wall integrity; involved in the response to heat shock and other stressors; regulates 1,3-beta-glucan synthesis.

Gene ID: YJL163C

Info: Putative protein of unknown function.

Gene ID: YIL028W

Info: Dubious open reading frame unlikely to encode a protein, based on available experimental and comparative sequence data.

Gene ID: YIL029C

Info: Putative protein of unknown function; deletion confers sensitivity to 4-(N-(S-glutathionylacetyl)amino) phenylarsenoxide.

Gene ID: YIL092W

Info: Putative protein of unknown function; green fluorescent protein (GFP)-fusion protein localizes to the cytoplasm and to the nucleus.

Gene ID: YNL305C

Info: Putative protein of unknown function; green fluorescent protein (GFP)-fusion protein localizes to the vacuole; YNL305C is not an essential gene.

Gene ID: YOL114C

Info: Putative protein of unknown function with similarity to human ICT1 and prokaryotic factors that may function in translation termination; YOL114C is not an essential gene.

Gene ID: YPL066W

Info: Hypothetical protein.

Gene ID: YPL102C

Info: Dubious open reading frame, not conserved in closely related Saccharomyces species; deletion mutation enhances replication of Brome mosaic virus in S. cerevisiae, but this is likely due to effects on the overlapping gene ELP4.



Appendix E: Third batch of sugars tested. Retested results shown in Figure 10



Appendix F: 44 Y101 knockout mutants of interest from BTB assay

Y101 knockout mutants (light blue) and Y101 WT (red). Each knockout histobar is an average of two separate trials while the Y101 WT is an average of 6 points (Y101 WT was run in triplicate for both mutant trials). Selected knockout mutants of interest shown in Figure 10.

Literature Cited:

Alper, H., et al. (2006). Engineering Yeast Transcription Machinery for Improved Ethanol Tolerance and Production. *Science* **314**: 1565-1568

Chu, B.C.H., Lee, H. (2007). Genetic improvement of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for xylose fermentation. *Biotechnology Advances* **25**: 425-441.

Foreman, P.K., *et al.* (2003). Transcriptional Regulation of Biomass-degrading Enzymes in the Filamentous Fungus *Trichoderma reesei*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **278**(34): 31988–31997

Gray, K.A., et al. (2006). Bioethanol. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 10: 141–146

Jeffries, T.W., Jin, Y. (2004). Metabolic engineering for improved fermentation of pentoses by yeasts. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **63**: 495–509

Karhumaa, K., *et al.* (2006). Co-utilization of L-arabinose and D-xylose by laboratory and industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Microbial Cell Factories* **5**: 18

Kuijper, S.M. (2006). Engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for the production of fuel ethanol from xylose. Thesis. Delft University of Technology.

Leandro, M.J., *et al.* (2006). Two glucose/xylose transporter genes from the yeast Candida intermedia: first molecular characterization of a yeast xylose-H+ symporter. *Biochemical Journal* **395**: 543–549

Liang, L., *et al.* (2007). Altering coenzyme specificity of *Pichia stipitis* xylose reductase by the semi-rational approach CASTing. *Microbial Cell Factories* **6**: 36

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2001). Partial List of Microorganisms and Microbial-Derived Ingredients That Are Used In Foods. Retrieved April 23, 2008 from: http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/opa-micr.html

Rani, K.S., Seenayya, G. (1999). High ethanol tolerance of new isolates of *Clostridium thermocellum* strains SS21 and SS22. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **15**: 173-178

Robillard, R. (2007). A Microbial Breathalyzer: Design of a colorimetric assay for the detection and quantification of ethanol production in microbes. Major Qualifying Project. Worcester Polytechnic Institute.

Sun, Y., Cheng, J. (2002) Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol production: a review. *Bioresource Technology* **83:** 1–11

van Zyl, W.H., *et al.* (1999). Xylose utilisation by recombinant strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on different carbon sources. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **52**: 829-833

Walfridsson, M., *et al.* (1995). Xylose-Metabolizing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Strains Overexpressing the *TKL1* and *TAL1* Genes Encoding the Pentose Phosphate Pathway Enzymes Transketolase and Transaldolase. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **61** (12): 4184–4190

Walfridsson, M., *et al.* (1997). Expression of different levels of enzymes from the Pichia stipitis XYL1 and XYL2 genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and its effects on product formation during xylose utilization. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **48**: 218-224